



OECD DEVELOPMENT CENTRE

Working Paper No. 204

(Formerly Technical Paper No. 204)

HEALTH INSURANCE FOR THE POOR?
DETERMINANTS OF PARTICIPATION
IN COMMUNITY-BASED
HEALTH INSURANCE SCHEMES
IN RURAL SENEGAL

by

Johannes Jütting

Research programme on:
Empowering People to Meet the Challenges of Globalisation
and Social Institutions and Dialogue



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 5 |
| PREFACE | 6 |
| RÉSUMÉ | 7 |
| SUMMARY | 7 |
| I. INTRODUCTION..... | 8 |
| II. HEALTH CARE SITUATION IN SENEGAL..... | 10 |
| III. STUDY DESIGN, DATA AND ESTIMATION METHOD | 12 |
| IV. ESTIMATION OF DETERMINANTS OF PARTICIPATION | 14 |
| V. OVERCOMING THE PARTICIPATION CONSTRAINT | 21 |
| VI. CONCLUSIONS | 23 |
| NOTES..... | 24 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 25 |
| OTHER TITLES IN THE SERIES/ AUTRES TITRES DANS LA SÉRIE | 27 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the members of the Poverty Group at the Center for Development Research (ZEF-Bonn) for stimulating discussions and comments on the ideas presented here. Hana Ohly provided most valuable research assistance. Financing from the ILO-STEP project is gratefully acknowledged.

PREFACE

This paper deals with the important subject of participation in local development organisations and institutions. While it has now widely been accepted that community-based initiatives have an important role to play in improving poor people's risk-sharing arrangements, whether or not these new emerging institutions are able to address the problem of social exclusion in local communities is far from being clear.

Using household data from a rural area in Senegal, Johannes Jütting — who just joined the Centre — shows that community-based health insurance schemes address important needs of the poor. In the sample of the Thiès region, the schemes generally attract poor people who would be otherwise deprived of access to quality health care. However, the author points out that the poorest of the poor within the surveyed communities remain largely excluded because they do not have the resources to pay the insurance premium. The econometric analysis has also revealed that other socio-economic characteristics determine participation rates, meaning that local initiatives might reduce the problem of social exclusion of a certain group of the population.

The findings of this case study lead to the conclusion that social services provided by local institutions and organisations can be a first, but incomplete, step to better social protection of the poor. The major challenge for governments and donors is to find appropriate ways and instruments to promote these initiatives by making use of their local specificities as well as by addressing their deficiencies. Possible avenues which could address the weaknesses identified in this paper are well-targeted subsidies for the poorest, strengthening of the management capacity, training and linking to more formalised public systems.

Continuing research under Activity 4 of the Centre's 2001/2002 work programme, this study was also undertaken in preparation for Activity 3 of our 2003/2004 programme.

Jorge Braga de Macedo
President
OECD Development Centre
31 January 2003

RÉSUMÉ

Les populations pauvres ont des difficultés à accéder aux soins de santé, ce qui a des répercussions négatives sur leur dignité, sur leur aptitude à gérer les risques et sur la formation du capital humain. Ces dernières années, des systèmes d'assurance de santé ont été créés au niveau des communautés, attirant l'attention des décideurs et des chercheurs par leur apparente efficacité à atteindre les pauvres. S'appuyant sur l'exemple d'un tel système en milieu rural au Sénégal, l'auteur identifie les facteurs responsables de la participation à ces mécanismes d'assurance de santé. Il ressort de l'analyse des données d'une enquête ménage auprès de membres et de non membres du système, que les principaux facteurs déterminants de la participation sont : le revenu, la religion, les spécificités villageoises et l'appartenance à tel ou tel groupe ethnique. On peut déduire de ces résultats que *i)* bien que ces systèmes touchent les « pauvres » en général, il reste difficile pour les « plus pauvres » de participer financièrement ; *ii)* l'exclusion sociale due à la religion ou à l'origine ethnique se maintient parfois. Ce Document technique examine plusieurs options susceptibles d'améliorer ces mécanismes d'assurance de façon à en corriger les faiblesses.

SUMMARY

Poor people lack access to health care with a negative impact on their dignity, human capital formation and their risk-management options. Recently an emerging movement of community-based health insurance schemes has attracted the attention of policy makers and researchers as it seems that these schemes target the poor more efficiently. Taking the example of community-based health insurance schemes in rural Senegal this paper identifies the factors explaining participation in these schemes. Using household survey data of non-members and members, we found that household income, religion, village characteristics and the belonging to a certain ethnic group exert the strongest influence on the probability of participation. From these findings, it follows that *i)* although the schemes reach the “poor” in general, the “poorest of the poor” within the villages find it financially difficult to participate; *ii)* social exclusion due to religion or ethnic group might persist. Several options for designing the schemes in order to address these weaknesses are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The state in most low-income countries has not been able to fulfil the health care needs of the poor, and especially of the rural population. Shrinking budgetary support for health care services, public health provision inefficiency, unacceptably low quality of public health services, and the resultant imposition of user charges bear testimony to, and is reflective of, the state's inability to meet health care needs of the poor. In the last decade, the "health care crisis" led to the emergence of many community-based health insurance schemes or community financing schemes (CF)¹ in different regions of the developing world, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa (Jütting, 2001; Wiesmann and Jütting, 2001; Preker *et al.*, 2001)². The decentralisation process unleashed in these countries to empower lower layers of government and the local community further fuelled their emergence (Atim, 1998; Musau 1999). The success of micro-credit schemes may have also contributed to the emergence of community-based health initiatives designed to improve access through risk and resource sharing (Dror and Jacquier, 1999; Brown and Churchill, 1999). Elsewhere, particularly in regions of Asia and Latin America, community-based health initiatives have come about independently and as part of income protection measures or to fill the void created by missing institutions³.

Direct public provision of health care services for people lacking resources is only one of the ways of meeting their health care needs. This strategy was tried in the past in the belief that the poor are too poor to be able to save and contribute towards meeting their health care needs. This belief has been questioned in the recent past, and there is now a growing realisation that even the poor can make small, periodic contributions that can go towards meeting their health care needs. As a result, health insurance is increasingly being recognised as a tool for financing health care provision in low-income countries.

Why health? Of all the risks facing poor households, health risks probably pose the greatest threat to their lives and livelihoods. Health shocks have a direct impact on human capital formation. It thrusts health expenditure on a poor household precisely at a time when they can ill-afford it due to income shortfall resulting from the shock. Moreover, the uncertainty of the timings of illness and unpredictability of its costs make financial provision for illness difficult for households receiving low and irregular income (Tenkorang, 2001). Furthermore, given the strong link between health and income at low-income levels, a health shock affects the poor the most.

Why insurance? First, many health risks such as those relating to isolated illness, injury, disability, maternity and the like are considered to be eminently insurable as these risks are mostly independent or idiosyncratic, that is, not correlated among community members⁴. Secondly, insurance separates time of payment from time of use of health

services for each member, and thereby makes possible demand for such services by its members who would not have otherwise been able to afford the cost. Insurance is particularly beneficial to the poor who often bear high indirect costs of treatment due to their limited ability to mitigate risk on account of imperfect labour and credit markets⁵. Also, community-based insurance is considered to be pro-poor as it strengthens the demand side and thereby helps the poor to articulate their own needs (Develtere and Fonteneau, 2001).

Whereas the actual or potential benefits of the CF schemes have been described in the literature⁶, surprisingly little has been said so far on the determinants of participation in these schemes. In particular, very little is known about the equity of participation and health care utilisation of participants categorised by income, ethnic group, religion, age, gender and health care status. These characteristics are important to judge whether the schemes are able to address the problem of social exclusion from access to social protection. Moreover, it provides evidence about the magnitude of adverse selection problems in voluntary health insurance schemes. In any case, there is a lack of empirical studies using household survey and community data in order to look beyond issues referring to the design of the schemes.

Against this background, and by using a household survey data set collected in 2000 in four communities in Senegal, this paper analyses determinants of demand for health insurance. By comparing “member” and “non-member” attributes and using limited dependent variable models on the household and individual level, we identify those variables which explain participation.

The paper is organised as follows: Section II gives an overview on the health care situation in Senegal focusing on the poor and the rural population in the selected survey area. Following this, Section III presents the study design, the data set and the estimation method. In Section IV, the regressions results of participation on the individual and household level are discussed. Based on the findings of the empirical analysis, different approaches to overcome the identified barriers are explored in Section V. Section VI concludes the paper.

II. HEALTH CARE SITUATION IN SENEGAL

In Senegal, as in most African countries, large proportions of people are not covered by formal health insurance, and access problems in terms of financing and geographic outreach are reported.

The Senegalese health care system has three different levels: the first level is the health district, the second the region and the third the central level. The health district has a health care centre as well as health posts. In total, 50 health districts are existing that are run by a chief health doctor. The regional level is attached to the administrative division of a region and the central level is attached directly to the Ministry of Health (PNDS, 1999).

Using the WHO's international norms, Senegal's health infrastructure can be said to be underdeveloped. Whilst the "inhabitants per health post" criteria was nearly reached in 1999, the staffing and the equipment indexes lagged considerably behind international norms.

Table 1. **Health Infrastructure in Senegal in 1999**

| | Senegal | WHO norm |
|-------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Inhabitants / doctor | 17 000 | 7 500 |
| Inhabitants / nurse | 8 700 | 300 |
| Women in reproductive age / midwife | 4 600 | 300 |
| Inhabitants / health post | 11 500 | 10 000 |
| Inhabitants / health centre | 175 000 | 50 000 |
| Inhabitants / hospital | 545 000 | 150 000 |

Source: PNDS (1999).

Fifty per cent of the overall funding of the Senegalese health sector is undertaken by the central government, 10 per cent by user fees, 6 per cent by local governments and approximately 30 per cent by donors. Even though the public sector plays a major part in financing and providing services, the private sector, due to its size and geographical distribution, also plays an important role in the Senegalese health system. Private providers are a mix of for-profit providers, serving urban high- and middle-income groups and charging relatively high fees, and non-profit providers, mostly church-run facilities, serving rural and poor populations for only modest fees. Company clinics are also important: around 40 private clinics (1994), three quarters of which are located in Dakar, while 14 diagnostic labs, 11 of them in Dakar (PNDS, 1999), operate in Senegal.

In the non-profit-sector, the Catholic Church plays an important role as a health care provider with around 70 health posts (mainly in rural areas) and the well-known hospital St. Jean de Dieu in Thiès. The church deliberately puts most of its non-profit services in the rural areas, to reach the otherwise excluded and the poor. The church network developed mostly in the 1950-70 period. Church-based providers are especially important in reaching rural areas with preventive services.

The Movement of “les mutuelles de santé” in Senegal

The idea of community-financing schemes in Senegal has its roots in the Thiès region, which is located in the western part of Senegal and is, with over 1 million inhabitants, the second most densely populated region in the country. Roughly one third of the region's population lives in the town of Thiès, the rest living in the rural areas. Agricultural activities are the main income source for the rural population. For a long time peanut production dominated, but with sinking world market prices in the early 1990s, farmers have started diversifying by producing vegetables, fruits and food crops such as cassava. Poverty is widespread, notably among rural households (Tine, 2000).

The health care situation is equally unsatisfactory: people are exposed to a variety of illnesses and health risks such as malaria and diarrhoea. However, access to health care is constrained by the limited number of health facilities accessible to the population and by financial constraints⁷. The latter point poses a very important problem for the rural poor: when facing an illness, they have to rely on risk-coping strategies such as the selling of assets, or on transfers from their families and local networks to be able to pay the fees of a treatment. In consequence the majority of the rural population still frequent the *pharmacie de la rue* which offers medicine at lower prices but with an insecure and often lower quality (Tine, 2000).

Against this unfavourable background, the *mutuelles de santé* (mutual health organisations or mutuals) have been developed as one form of risk sharing at the community level. In Senegal, the first experience with health mutuals in rural areas started in 1990 in the village of Fandène in the Thiès region. From the beginning, the movement in Thiès was supported by a local health care provider, the non-profit hospital St. Jean de Dieu. At the time of the survey, 16 mutual health insurance schemes operated in the region of Thiès, covering around 27 000 persons⁸.

III. STUDY DESIGN, DATA AND ESTIMATION METHOD

A household survey was carried out by the Institute for Health and Development (ISED) in Dakar in co-operation with the Centre for Development Research in Bonn. It started with a pre-test in March 2000 and the final survey took place in May 2000⁹. The participation rate, at more than 95 per cent, was very high. The aim of the survey was to collect information about five major aspects:

- socio-demographic data of the concerned population;
- impact of mutuels and the reasons why people joined or did not join;
- health status and health care seeking behaviour;
- income, expenditure and consumption patterns; and
- evaluation of living conditions.

For the survey, a two-stage stratified sampling procedure was chosen: first, four villages out of the 16 in which mutuels operate were selected. In each — Fandène, Sanghé, Ngaye Ngaye and Mont Rolland — one mutual is in place and is named for the village. As selection criteria of the four villages/mutuels, we used the age of the scheme proxied by the number of years in operation, the distance to the hospital, the types of services provided, and the participation rate (see Table 2). The second stage consisted in randomly selecting the households for the interviews. In all four villages, members and non-members were interviewed. Members and non-members were interviewed from household lists of all the inhabitants in order to calculate the percentage distribution between members and non-members and their respective weight in the sample.

Table 2. **Selection Criteria for Mutuels to be Included in the Survey**

| Name of the village/mutual | Years of Operation | Distance from Hospital (km) | Services | Participation rate of households in the mutuels (%) |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Fandène | 10 | 6 | Hospitalisation | 90.3 |
| Sanghé | 3 | 8 | Hospitalisation | 37.4 |
| Ngaye Ngaye | 6 | 30 | Primary health care | 81.5 |
| Mont Rolland | 4 | 15 | Hospitalisation | 62.6 |

Source: Jütting (2002a).

A total of 346 households were interviewed, 70 per cent of which were members and 30 per cent of which were non-members, a ratio corresponding to the distribution of member and non-member households throughout our total population. The data set contains information on roughly 2 900 persons, of which 60 per cent members and

40 per cent non-members. This means that some household heads have not insured their entire family. In the empirical analysis of determinants of participation on the individual level, we discuss in detail which family members have a higher probability of being insured.

The data was entered immediately after completing the survey, using SPSS Windows. In addition to the household survey, we interviewed key persons (leaders of the mutuals) in order to get complementary information about the functioning, problems and success of the mutuals.

To estimate the determinants of participation in a mutual health organisation, we follow an approach applied by Weinberger and Jütting (2001)¹⁰. In that approach, participation in a local organisation depends on the rational choice of an individual weighting costs and benefits of membership. It is assumed that participation of a household (p) in a mutual depends on: the current income of the household (y), characteristics of the household head (H) who decides if the household joins or not, household characteristics (Z), community characteristics (C) and on the error term u , which is uncorrelated with the other regressors.

The following equation describes our model:

$$p_i = f(y_i, Z_i, H_i, C) \quad (1)$$

In order to estimate the probability of participation, we use a binary probit model:

Binary probit model:

$$p_i^* = \beta y_i + \phi Z_i + \alpha H_i + \delta C + u_i \quad (2)$$

$p_i = 1$ if $p^* > 0$, meaning the household i is member of the insurance scheme.

$p_i = 0$ otherwise.

IV. ESTIMATION OF DETERMINANTS OF PARTICIPATION

Variables Included into the Analysis

The following table gives an overview of the variables which are included in the analysis of the determinants of participation.

Table 3. Overview of Variables Used and Expected Effects

| Variable | Description | Expected sign for participation decision |
|--|--|--|
| Individual characteristics of household head and household characteristics | | |
| Sex | Male headed household (1=yes) | + |
| Age group 1 | Age between 21 and 40 years | + |
| Age group 3 | Age between 61 and 90 years | - |
| Literacy (dummy) | Ability to read/ read and write (1=yes) | + |
| Other organisation (dummy) | Household head member in other group (1=yes) | + |
| Relationship (dummy)* | Relation to household head (1= self, spouse, parents, children and 0 otherwise) | + |
| Wolof (dummy) | Household belonging to ethnic group of Wolof (1=yes) | + |
| Religion (dummy) | Christian household (1=yes) | + |
| Income | Average log expenditure / household member in F CFA | + |
| Income terziles | Lower terzile of expenditure | - |
| | Middle terzile of expenditure | +/- |
| | Upper terzile of expenditure | + |
| Self-wealth | Self-classification of the household (poor, average, rich) | -; +/-; + |
| Frequency of illness* | Number of cases ill in the last six months | + |
| Illness-ratio | Number of cases of illness per household in the last 6 months divided by number of household members | + |
| Community characteristics | | |
| Fandène (dummy) | Household belonging to Fandène community (1=yes) | + |
| Sanghé (dummy) | Household belonging to Sanghé community (1=yes) | - |
| Ngaye Ngaye (dummy) | Household belonging to Ngaye Ngaye community (1=yes) | ? |
| Mont Rolland (dummy) | Household belonging to Mont Rolland community (1=yes) | ? |
| Solidarity (dummy) | Perceived solidarity in the village by household head (1=yes) | + |

*: Only used in the equation for determinants of participation on an individual level.

Source: Own compilation.

As outlined above the decision of a household to participate in a mutual health organisation is supposed to be influenced by individual, household and community characteristics. The variables representing individual characteristics of the household head involves age, education, sex and proxies for the health status. With respect to age, we hypothesise that younger household heads are more open to innovations (age group 1: positive coefficient) and that with increasing age people tend to participate less (age group 3: negative coefficient). Furthermore, we expect that better educated people tend to join a mutual more than people with less education.

The following characteristics of the household are supposed to influence membership in a mutual: income, ethnic group, religion, and a proxy for the health status of the household.

The most important variable to be looked at in the context of our research question is income and its effect on the decision to participate or not. As outlined before, we use the income as a proxy for social exclusion. In our study, we have measured "income" as calculated by the average expenditure of the household per year and member¹¹. We assume that income has a positive influence on the decision to participate and that the poorer strata of the population will not participate due to difficulties in paying the premium. Also, it will be of interest to analyse whether the richer part of the population participates as this is important for risk-pooling reasons. Hence, we included income tertiles into the regression analysis, i.e. we divided our sample into three subgroups "rich", "average" and "poor". Added to the quantitative measures of wealth was relative wealth. Households were asked to classify themselves according to relative wealth within the community on a rank from one (poorer than the average) to three (wealthier than the average). We expect the same findings in tendency for the relative measures than for the quantitative measures.

We have included a dummy variable "Wolof" in order to measure the influence of belonging to a specific ethnic group¹². The Wolofs are known for their openness to institutional innovations in the Senegalese context (Diallo, 2000). The variable "religion" is included in order to take into account the fact that mutuals have an exclusive contract with the Catholic-owned hospital, St. Jean de Dieu. Moreover, the mutuals get active support by the diocese de Thiès. Hence, we expect that Christians tend to enrol proportionally more than Muslims. We also assume a positive relationship between membership in a mutual and membership in other organisations. People who already have experience of participation in local organisations are more likely to be willing to join a mutual insurance than people who have no such experience¹³. To control for adverse selection, we integrate two variables that try to capture the health status of a household (illness ratio) and of an individual (frequency of illness). We assume that less healthy households tend to join mutuals more than healthier ones, leading to a potential adverse selection effect.

Finally, we include dummy variables capturing village characteristics. We assume that household heads acknowledging a high value of solidarity in their village tend to participate more.

Descriptive statistics

The following table shows the result from the descriptive analysis of differences between members and non-member households of a mutual health organisation.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics for Socio-Economic Characteristics of Member and Non-Member Households in Senegal

| | Members Mean (SD) | Non-members Mean (SD) | Differences (t-test for significance) | Total Mean (SD) |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Individual characteristics of household head and household characteristics | | | | |
| Sex | 0.82 (0.39) | 0.86 (0.35) | 0.04 | 0.83 (0.37) |
| Age group 1 | 35.93 (4.09) | 35.44 (4.22) | 0.49 | 35.85 (4.07) |
| Age group 2 | 50.63 (5.86) | 51.21 (5.46) | 0.58 | 50.85 (5.70) |
| Age group 3 | 71.09 (6.10) | 70.89 (6.16) | 0.2 | 71.03 (6.10) |
| Literacy (dummy) | 0.39 (0.49) | 0.29 (0.45) | 0.1** | 0.36 (0.48) |
| Other organisation (dummy) | 0.36 (0.48) | 0.19 (0.39) | 0.17*** | 0.30 (0.46) |
| Wolof (dummy) | 0.16 (0.37) | 0.0463 (0.21) | 0.1137*** | 0.13 (0.33) |
| Religion (dummy) | 0.73 (0.44) | 0.42 (0.50) | 0.31*** | 0.63 (0.48) |
| Income | 106 280 (121 347) | 66 752 (48 597) | 39 528*** | 93 877 (105 663) |
| Income tertile: lower | 38 465 (9 924) | 36 028 (9 855) | 2 437 | 37 322 (9 923) |
| Income tertile: middle | 69 673 (11 213) | 67 421 (10 777) | 2 252 | 68 961 (11 079) |
| Income tertile: upper | 178 407 (163 371) | 15 5877 (53 243) | 22 530 | 174 850 (151 437) |
| Self-wealth: poor | 75 401 (26 851) | 57 631 (37 522) | 17 770** | 66 377 (43 135) |
| Self-wealth: average | 114 349 (141 909) | 75 241 (51 955) | 39 108 | 106 820 (130 336) |
| Self-wealth: rich | 145 437 (98 548) | 114 629 (93 802) | 30 808 | 138 043 (96 422) |
| Illness-ratio | 0.4319 (0.3457) | 0.3749 (0.2987) | 0.057 | 0.4141 (0.3324) |
| Community characteristics | | | | |
| Fandène (dummy) | 0.43 (0.50) | 0.10 (0.30) | 0.33*** | 0.33 (0.47) |
| Ngaye Ngaye (dummy) | 0.18 (0.39) | 0.093 (0.29) | 0.087** | 0.16 (0.36) |
| Sanghé (dummy) | 0.13 (0.33) | 0.46 (0.50) | 0.33*** | 0.23 (0.42) |
| Mont Rolland (dummy) | 0.26 (0.44) | 0.34 (0.48) | 0.08 | 0.29 (0.45) |
| Solidarity (dummy) | 0.82 (0.38) | 0.78 (0.41) | 0.04 | 0.81 (0.39) |

* Significant at 0.1 level; ** Significant at 0.05 level; ***Significant at = 0.01 level.
Source: Own estimation based on ZEF-ISED survey data.

The results of the descriptive statistical analysis suggest that the household head of a member family seems to be better educated and joins more often other organisations than the head of a household not belonging to a mutual. Regarding differences in household characteristics, member households tend to belong more to the Wolof ethnic group, are in the majority Christians, and dispose of a higher income. Finally, with respect to community characteristics member households seem to be more frequent in the villages of Fandène and Ngaye-Ngaye, than in Sanghé and Mont Rolland.

Marginal Coefficients

To measure the determinants of participation in mutual health insurance schemes, we have developed three models. Model 1 includes “income” as a continuous variable to find out whether or not income has an influence on the decision to participate. Model 2 includes income tertiles as regressors, which enable us to see the effects for the different wealth stratas of the population. Finally, in model 3, we use the self-reported wealth status as exogenous variables. Table 5 reports the marginal coefficients of participation which we have calculated with LIMDEP, which facilitates the interpretation of the estimated coefficients.

All three models are highly significant and their explanatory power is relatively good. Model 1 shows that income has the expected positive and highly significant effect on the probability of participation. If we look at how the different strata of the population participate, we find that the poorer part of the population is represented to a lesser extent than people with an average or high income. The results of model 2 suggest that the probability of participation of people belonging to the poorest tertile is 11 percentage points less, while in model 3 the equivalent figure for the self-classified poor people is 26 percentage points. We also have indications that the “upper income” strata tend to participate more than the average group with 16 percentage points (model 2)¹⁴.

This result had to be put into perspective of the general living conditions in the survey area. The overall income of a household in the studied area is quite low. The income of the richest quintile of the surveyed populations — approximately 18 500 F CFA/per month/per household member — still lies below the minimum monthly salary that amounts to 37 000 FCFA per *person*. This result has been confirmed in other studies covering the same study area (Tine, 1998). In addition, cross-tabulations reveal that also among the poorest quintile of the surveyed households, members are present. To conclude, mutuals reach the poor but they do have difficulties to reach the most disadvantaged persons within a village.

Table 5. Marginal Coefficients for Determinants of Participation in a Mutual Health Insurance in Senegal (household level)

| Variable | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Constant | -2.048*** (0.541) | -0.223 (0.155) | 0.064 (0.147) |
| Individual characteristics of household head and household characteristics | | | |
| Sex (1 = male) | 0.054 (0.083) | 0.071 (0.083) | -0.001 (0.083) |
| Age group 1 (age 21-40) | 0.088 (0.092) | 0.085 (0.092) | 0.079 (0.091) |
| Age group 3 (age > 60) | 0.087 (0.061) | 0.079 (0.061) | 0.101 (0.062) |
| Literacy (can read/ read and write, 1 = yes) | 0.059 (0.063) | 0.062 (0.063) | 0.043 (0.063) |
| Other organisation (membership in other group, 1=yes) | 0.180*** (0.066) | 0.183*** (0.066) | 0.120* (0.065) |
| Household characteristics | | | |
| Wolof (household belonging to ethnic group of Wolof, 1= yes) | 0.249* (0.135) | 0.284** (0.137) | 0.229* (0.133) |
| Religion (1=Christian) | 0.370*** (0.085) | 0.369*** (0.085) | 0.347*** (0.083) |
| Income (expenditures per household member log) | 0.167*** (0.046) | | |
| Income tertile: Lower | | -0.110* (0.063) | |
| Income tertile: Upper | | 0.165** (0.073) | |
| Self-wealth (self-classification of household): Poor | | | -0.254*** (0.058) |
| Self-wealth: Rich | | | 0.018 (0.113) |
| Illness-ratio (number of cases of illness per household in the last 6 months divided by number of household members) | 0.002 (0.088) | 0.007 (0.088) | 0.037 (0.086) |
| Fandène (household belonging to Fandène community, 1 = yes) | -0.029 (0.151) | -0.011 (0.152) | -0.119 (0.150) |
| Sanghé (household belonging to Sanghé community, 1 = yes) | -0.277** (0.132) | -0.261* (0.134) | -0.383*** (0.130) |
| Mont Rolland (household belonging to Mont Rolland community, 1 = yes) | -0.225 (0.139) | -0.202 (0.141) | -0.308** (0.137) |
| Solidarity (perceived solidarity in the village, 1=yes) | 0.103 (0.066) | 0.100 (0.067) | 0.104* (0.065) |
| Number of observations | 338 | 338 | 341 |
| Pseudo R ² | 0.567 | 0.569 | 0.568 |
| Chi ² | 120.32 | 121.39 | 127.96 |
| Prob > Chi ² | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Frequencies of actual/predicted outcomes | 80% | 80% | 80% |

* Significant at 0.1 level; ** Significant at 0.05 level; ***Significant at 0.01 level.

Source: Own estimation based on ZEF-ISED survey data.

Besides income, the other important household characteristic determining participation is the belonging to a specific religion. Being a Christian, increases on average the probability of being a member of a mutual by roughly 37 percentage points. This result is not surprising since the Catholic Church, as outlined above, supports the mutuals in various ways. During the interviews, Muslims reported that they thought that mutuals are exclusively for Christians and not open to everyone. Finally, another interesting household characteristic with an influence on participation is the ethnic group. As expected, the probability of participation is higher for the Wolofs than for other ethnic groups such as the Sérère and the Peulh¹⁵.

Whereas household characteristics have an influence on the determinants of participation, the individual characteristics of the household head do not seem to play a role with the exception of "membership in other organisations". In all models, it turned out that those household heads with previous experience of membership in a local organisation tend to participate more. These people already have an experience of the costs and benefits of participation in local organisations, notably the reduction of the initial high transaction costs.

The village effects that were discovered are also interesting. Different model variations show that the inhabitants of Sanghé and Mont Rolland have a significantly lower probability of being members than inhabitants of Ngaye Ngaye and Fandène (in Tables 5 and 6 *vis-à-vis* Ngaye Ngaye). These results indicate clearly that the different types of health insurance provided — primary health care in Ngaye Ngaye and inpatient care in the other three mutuals — had no significant influence on the decision to participate. Instead there are indications that specific village factors, i.e. the management of the mutual, seem to play an important role. The Sanghé mutual faced several financing and managerial difficulties which lead to a temporary cessation of its activities. As a consequence several people left the mutual. Efforts to re-establish the mutual have been successful and today the mutual is functioning again, albeit with a lower participation.

So far the results have shown that the main factors influencing the demand for health insurance in rural Senegal at the household level are income, access to social networks, religion, belonging to a certain ethnic group and village effects. These results are largely confirmed by looking at the determinants of participation at the individual level. Regarding the individual level, it is specifically interesting to analyse which type of household members is insured. From a theoretical perspective, one would assume that those individuals that are insured are more prone to the risk of illness. As Table 6 shows, this seems to be confirmed by the fact that the probability for women and older people of being insured is higher than for male and younger persons in the household. It is reasonable to assume that women of child-bearing age and older people do need hospital care more often than other household members. Whilst the coefficient for both variables is significant, the marginal effect is, with less than 0.1 per cent points, rather low, which makes it difficult to diagnose severe adverse selection problems of the schemes. In addition, within a household, and controlling for other variables, the better-educated person is insured. Finally, and not surprisingly, within a household the persons closer to the household head — spouse, children, and parents — have a higher probability of being members than persons like uncles, aunts, etc.

Table 6. Marginal Coefficients for Determinants of Participation in a Mutual Health Insurance in Senegal (individual level)

| Variable | Model coefficients |
|--|----------------------|
| Constant | -0.100* (0.056) |
| Individual characteristics of member and household characteristics | |
| Sex (1= male) | -0.042** (0.021) |
| Age group 1 (age <26) | 0.000 (0.027) |
| Age group 3 (age >50) | 0.077** (0.035) |
| Literacy (can read/read and write, 1= yes) | 0.109*** (0.022) |
| Other organisation (membership in other group, 1= yes) | 0.070** (0.028) |
| Relationship (self, spouse, parents, children, 1 = yes) | 0.115*** (0.022) |
| Frequency of illness (number of cases ill in last 6 months) | -0.011 (0.020) |
| Wolof (household belonging to ethnic group of Wolof, 1= yes) | 0.182*** (0.049) |
| Religion (1= Christian) | 0.386*** (0.033) |
| Income tertile: Lower | -0.047** (0.024) |
| Income tertile: Upper | 0.219*** (0.028) |
| Community characteristics | |
| Fandène (individual belonging to Fandène community, 1 = yes) | -0.058 (0.058) |
| Sanghé (individual belonging to Sanghé community, 1 = yes) | -0.358*** (0.050) |
| Mont Rolland (individual belonging to Mont Rolland community, 1 = yes) | -0.332*** (0.055) |
| Number of observations | 2,855 |
| Pseudo R ² | 0.549 |
| Chi ² | 989.02 |
| Prob > Chi ² | 0.000 |
| Frequencies of actual / predicted outcomes | 77% |

* Significant at 0.1 level; ** Significant at 0.05 level; ***Significant at 0.01 level.

Source: Own estimation based on ZEF-ISED survey data.

In conclusion, the analysis reveals that religion, community matters, the belonging to an ethnic group and income have the strongest influence on the decision for a household to join a community-based health insurance scheme. This means that for the poorest of the poor in a community, other solutions have to be found in order to help them join the community-based health insurance schemes.

V. OVERCOMING THE PARTICIPATION CONSTRAINT

Formal health insurance schemes cover only a marginal proportion of the population in low-income countries. Due to economic constraints, lack of good governance and institutional weaknesses, formal social protection for the vulnerable segments of the population is widely absent. In this context, community involvement in the financing of health care is a first step in the long march towards improved access to health care and better social protection. The analysis has however also shown that community involvement is not sufficient in preventing social exclusion since the very poor face strong difficulties to participate fully in these schemes. Furthermore, existing barriers due to other social characteristics might be increased. The following options might be considered to overcome these constraints:

- *Well-targeted subsidies*

The non affordability of the premiums by the poorest segment in the villages could be addressed by subsidising their premiums. Poor people are willing to pay a part of their premium if their contributions are supplemented by a government subsidy and if the benefits they receive provide access to quality services that address their most frequent health problems. With these kind of subsidies governments would promote the demand side with a potentially longer lasting effect than with subsidies for public health facilities which have proven to be often ineffective. However, research is needed on ways of designing and implementing these subsidies.

- *Flexibility in payment procedure*

Households which cannot afford to pay the premium at once should be allowed to pay in instalments to a "tontine" before joining a community financing scheme. In addition, church-based groups can collect fees for the indigent, disabled, orphans, etc. The paying of contributions by charitable organisations has also been reported for some members of the schemes, which have given people otherwise excluded the chance to participate in the mutuels. Some mutuels have even started collective activities from which they are used to pay membership fees. The timing of collection is also important. After the harvest period, the chances that the poor can afford to spend money on insurance are higher.

- Education and strengthening of the management capacity

Public health policies can promote the technical and organisational know-how of the schemes through management and training. Institution building may prove to be a cost-effective way for creating local ownership and capacity. Specifically, it would be useful:

- to assist communities in organising and promoting community financing schemes and provide information about their viability;
- to provide an appropriate regulatory framework for these initiatives; and
- to promote financial literacy through education.

The overall aim should be to enlarge the risk pool and to make the schemes known and attractive to all parts of the concerned population. CF schemes are often set up by voluntary, non-profit-organisations. These organisations act as insurance brokers between the interests of a health care provider and the expectations and needs of their members. To deal with these ambiguities is of major importance and requires trained personnel. In this context, it must be stressed that the administrative procedure for handling claims should be as simple and transparent as possible. The collapse of a large number of mutual health insurance schemes in West Africa underlines the importance of financial literacy by both staff and members. For most people, the concept of insurance is something new, which means that intensive and continuous extension work is needed. Information campaigns are probably useful in this respect.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In the past, policy makers and donors have largely focused on *public* policy measures for social protection of people living in low-income environments. The household has been seen mainly as beneficiary of action on the part of the public sector, not as an actor itself. This perspective mainly took into account government and donor activities in this area, largely overlooking the diverse and often rich institutional environment in which most of these households are embedded. As a matter of fact most people working in the informal sector or in rural areas are largely excluded from formal social security.

In many countries, new forms of risk sharing at the local level are developing, community financing schemes being a prominent example. This study shows that while it has been reported in the literature that these schemes can substantially reduce transaction costs and help to better protect people against health shocks, participation of all segments of the community is not ensured. Participation in community financing schemes requires resources, i.e. time and money, which the most disadvantaged group in societies often does not possess. Donors and policy makers should hence be aware that it might be very difficult, even impossible, to reach the poorest part of the population when promoting participation in these kind of local organisations. In order to both promote these initiatives and lower the barriers of participation, well-targeted subsidies and a linkage to social funds is a possible solution. As one major objective of social funds is to finance investments benefiting the poor and, since in most parts it is the public sector which administers social funds, such a support would also strengthen the linkage to more formalised health care systems. By the same token, it would also enlarge the small risk pool of community financing schemes, a major weakness of the schemes, thereby also helping to prevent a further fragmentation of the population.

Future research should address the question of how subsidies for the poorest in a community can be designed in order to preserve the incentives for a viable management of the schemes and to achieve optimal targeting. In addition, more research is needed on other promising measures to fight social exclusion in access to social protection in low-income environments.

NOTES

1. These terms are used interchangeably throughout the paper.
2. The schemes are more concentrated in central and western parts than in eastern and southern parts of sub-Saharan Africa.
3. Health insurance by Self-Employed Women Association (SEWA), India, and insurance provided by Grameen Bank, Bangladesh are just two of the many such examples.
4. Unlike many health risks, political, social and institutional risks are often covariate in nature (Weinberger and Jütting, 2000). On insurability of risks, see Jütting, 2002*b*, and Brown and Churchill, 1999.
5. According to Tenkorang (2001), several studies on Africa show that demand for health care services is often hindered by immediate cash payments involved.
6. For a recent paper on the impact of CF schemes on access to health services, see Jütting (2002*a*).
7. For example, it is estimated that one doctor has to cover 21 000 persons and one hospital covers more than 580 000 people. Both figures are above national averages (Tine, 2000).
8. For details, see Tine (2000).
9. The interviewer team consisted of 15 enumerators and 4 supervisors, all with an educational background in public health. They have been selected by the Institute for Health and Development on the basis of past performance in surveys.
10. Weinberger and Jütting (2001) analyse determinants of participation in local self-help groups in rural regions of Pakistan and Chad.
11. Alternatively, we have also measured income as calculated by the returns of on-farm and off-farm activities as well as remittances. It turned out, however, that there was some estimation bias in the data due to the non-willingness of some interviewed people to report their true income.
12. In the region of Thiès, there exist three important ethnic groups: Wolof, Sérère and Peulh.
13. See, for example, the case study of women's participation in local development groups in Pakistan and Chad (Weinberger and Jütting, 2001).
14. The difference between model 2 and model 3 with respect to the effect of the upper class can be explained by the fact that people tend to classify themselves as being in the middle or poor and only 9 per cent classified themselves as rich.
15. The majority of Wolof are Moslems not Christians, so potential collinearity problems do not pose a problem.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ATIM, C. (1998), "Contribution of Mutual Health Organisations to Financing, Delivery and Access to Health Care: Synthesis of Research in Nine West and Central African Countries", *Technical Report No. 18*, Partnerships for Health Reform Project, Abt Associates Inc., Bethesda, MD.
- BROWN, W. and C. CHURCHILL (1999), *Providing Insurance to Low-Income Households: Part I: A Primer on Insurance Principles and Products*, Bethesda, MD.
- DEVELTERE, P. and B. FONTENEAU (2001), "Member-Based Organisations for Social Protection in Health in Developing Countries", Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, mimeo.
- DIALLO, I. (2000), *Impact des Mutuelles de Santé sur l'Accessibilité des Populations aux Soins de Santé Modernes dans la Région de Thiès au Sénégal*, Institute for Health and Development, Dakar.
- DROR, D. and C. JACQUIER (1999), "Micro-Insurance: Extending Health Insurance to the Excluded", *International Social Security Review*, 52 (1), pp. 71-98.
- JÜTTING, J. (2001), "The Impact of Community-Based Health Financing on Financial Protection: Case Study Senegal", *World Bank Discussion Paper Series on Health, Nutrition and Population*, World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- JÜTTING, J. (2002a), *The New Role of Social Security for Rural Development: The Example of Community-Based Health Insurance Schemes in Senegal*, forthcoming.
- JÜTTING, J. (2002b), *Social Risk Management in Rural Areas of Low-Income Countries: An Economic Analysis of Community-Based Health Insurance*, University of Bonn, Centre for Development Research.
- MUSAU, S. (1999), "Community-Based Health Insurance: Experience and Lessons Learned from East Africa", *Technical Report No. 34*, Partnerships for Health Reform Project, Abt Associates Inc., Bethesda, MD.
- PNDS (1999), *Plan National de Développement Sanitaire et Social du Sénégal*, Ministère de la Santé Publique, République du Sénégal.
- PREKER, A., G. CARRIN, D. DROR, M. JAKAB, W. HSIAO and D. ARHIN (2001), "Role of Communities in Resource Mobilization and Risk Sharing", *HNP Discussion Paper*, World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- TENKORANG, D.A. (2001), "Health Insurance for the Informal Sector in Africa: Design Features, Risk Protection and Resource Mobilisation", *CMH Working Paper Series*, No. WG3/1.
- TINE, J. (2000), "Les mutuelles de santé rurales de la région de Thiès au Sénégal: des initiatives communautaires pour améliorer l'accès aux soins de santé", Centre for Development Research, Bonn.
- TINE, J. (1998), "L'offre et le recours aux soins hospitaliers dans la région de Thiès: analyse géographique", Mémoire de Maîtrise en géographie, Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar.
- WEINBERGER, K. and J. JÜTTING (2001), "Women's Participation in Local Organisations: Conditions and Constraints", *World Development*, 29 (8), pp. 1391-1404.

DEV/DOC(2003)02

- WEINBERGER, K. and J. JÜTTING (2000), "Risk Management in Local Organisations: Some Evidence from Rural Chad", *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, 39 (3), pp. 281-299.
- WIESMANN, D. and J. JÜTTING (2001), "Determinants of Viable Health Insurance Schemes in Rural Sub-Saharan Africa", *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, 50 (4), pp. 361-378.

OTHER TITLES IN THE SERIES/ AUTRES TITRES DANS LA SÉRIE

All these documents may be downloaded from:

<http://www.oecd.org/dev/Technics>, *obtained via e-mail* (cendev.contact@oecd.org)

or ordered by post from the address on page 3

- Technical Paper No.1, *Macroeconomic Adjustment and Income Distribution: A Macro-Micro Simulation Model*, by F. Bourguignon, W.H. Branson and J. de Melo, March 1989.
- Technical Paper No. 2, *International Interactions in Food and Agricultural Policies: Effect of Alternative Policies*, by J. Zietz and A. Valdés, April, 1989.
- Technical Paper No. 3, *The Impact of Budget Retrenchment on Income Distribution in Indonesia: A Social Accounting Matrix Application*, by S. Keuning and E. Thorbecke, June 1989.
- Technical Paper No. 3a, *Statistical Annex: The Impact of Budget Retrenchment*, June 1989.
- Document technique No. 4, *Le Rééquilibrage entre le secteur public et le secteur privé : le cas du Mexique*, par C.-A. Michalet, juin 1989.
- Technical Paper No. 5, *Rebalancing the Public and Private Sectors: The Case of Malaysia*, by R. Leeds, July 1989.
- Technical Paper No. 6, *Efficiency, Welfare Effects, and Political Feasibility of Alternative Antipoverty and Adjustment Programs*, by A. de Janvry and E. Sadoulet, January 1990.
- Document technique No. 7, *Ajustement et distribution des revenus : application d'un modèle macro-micro au Maroc*, par Christian Morisson, avec la collaboration de Sylvie Lambert et Akiko Suwa, décembre 1989.
- Technical Paper No. 8, *Emerging Maize Biotechnologies and their Potential Impact*, by W. Burt Sundquist, October 1989.
- Document technique No. 9, *Analyse des variables socio-culturelles et de l'ajustement en Côte d'Ivoire*, par W. Weekes-Vagliani, janvier 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 10, *A Financial Computable General Equilibrium Model for the Analysis of Ecuador's Stabilization Programs*, by André Fargeix and Elisabeth Sadoulet, February 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 11, *Macroeconomic Aspects, Foreign Flows and Domestic Savings Performance in Developing Countries: A "State of The Art" Report*, by Anand Chandavarkar, February 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 12, *Tax Revenue Implications of the Real Exchange Rate: Econometric Evidence from Korea and Mexico*, by Virginia Fierro-Duran and Helmut Reisen, February 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 13, *Agricultural Growth and Economic Development: The Case of Pakistan*, by Naved Hamid and Wouter Tims, April 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 14, *Rebalancing the Public and Private Sectors in Developing Countries. The Case of Ghana*, by Dr. H. Akuoko-Frimpong, June 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 15, *Agriculture and the Economic Cycle: An Economic and Econometric Analysis with Special Reference to Brazil*, by Florence Contré and Ian Goldin, June 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 16, *Comparative Advantage: Theory and Application to Developing Country Agriculture*, by Ian Goldin, June 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 17, *Biotechnology and Developing Country Agriculture: Maize in Brazil*, by Bernardo Sorj and John Wilkinson, June 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 18, *Economic Policies and Sectoral Growth: Argentina 1913-1984*, by Yair Mundlak, Domingo Cavallo, Roberto Domenech, June 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 19, *Biotechnology and Developing Country Agriculture: Maize In Mexico*, by Jaime A. Matus Gardea, Arturo Puente Gonzalez and Cristina Lopez Peralta, June 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 20, *Biotechnology and Developing Country Agriculture: Maize in Thailand*, by Suthad Setboonsarng, July 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 21, *International Comparisons of Efficiency in Agricultural Production*, by Guillermo Flichmann, July 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 22, *Unemployment in Developing Countries: New Light on an Old Problem*, by David Turnham and Denizhan Eröcal, July 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 23, *Optimal Currency Composition of Foreign Debt: the Case of Five Developing Countries*, by Pier Giorgio Gawronski, August 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 24, *From Globalization to Regionalization: the Mexican Case*, by Wilson Peres Nuñez, August 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 25, *Electronics and Development in Venezuela: A User-Oriented Strategy and its Policy Implications*, by Carlota Perez, October 1990.

- Technical Paper No. 26, *The Legal Protection of Software: Implications for Latecomer Strategies in Newly Industrialising Economies (NIEs) and Middle-Income Economies (MIEs)*, by Carlos Maria Correa, October 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 27, *Specialization, Technical Change and Competitiveness in the Brazilian Electronics Industry*, by Claudio R. Frischtak, October 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 28, *Internationalization Strategies of Japanese Electronics Companies: Implications for Asian Newly Industrializing Economies (NIEs)*, by Bundo Yamada, October 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 29, *The Status and an Evaluation of the Electronics Industry in Taiwan*, by Gee San, October 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 30, *The Indian Electronics Industry: Current Status, Perspectives and Policy Options*, by Ghayur Alam, October 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 31, *Comparative Advantage in Agriculture in Ghana*, by James Pickett and E. Shaeeldin, October 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 32, *Debt Overhang, Liquidity Constraints and Adjustment Incentives*, by Bert Hofman and Helmut Reisen, October 1990.
- Technical Paper No. 34, *Biotechnology and Developing Country Agriculture: Maize in Indonesia*, by Hidjat Nataatmadja *et al.*, January 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 35, *Changing Comparative Advantage in Thai Agriculture*, by Ammar Siamwalla, Suthad Setboonsarng and Prasong Werakarnjanapongs, March 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 36, *Capital Flows and the External Financing of Turkey's Imports*, by Ziya Önis and Süleyman Özmucur, July 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 37, *The External Financing of Indonesia's Imports*, by Glenn P. Jenkins and Henry B.F. Lim, July 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 38, *Long-term Capital Reflow under Macroeconomic Stabilization in Latin America*, by Beatriz Armendariz de Aghion, April 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 39, *Buybacks of LDC Debt and the Scope for Forgiveness*, by Beatriz Armendariz de Aghion, April 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 40, *Measuring and Modelling Non-Tariff Distortions with Special Reference to Trade in Agricultural Commodities*, by Peter J. Lloyd, July 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 41, *The Changing Nature of IMF Conditionality*, by Jacques J. Polak, August 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 42, *Time-Varying Estimates on the Openness of the Capital Account in Korea and Taiwan*, by Helmut Reisen and Hélène Yéches, August 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 43, *Toward a Concept of Development Agreements*, by F. Gerard Adams, August 1991.
- Document technique No. 44, *Le Partage du fardeau entre les créanciers de pays débiteurs défaillants*, par Jean-Claude Berthélemy et Ann Vourc'h, septembre 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 45, *The External Financing of Thailand's Imports*, by Supote Chunanunthathum, October 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 46, *The External Financing of Brazilian Imports*, by Enrico Colombatto, with Elisa Luciano, Luca Gargiulo, Pietro Garibaldi and Giuseppe Russo, October 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 47, *Scenarios for the World Trading System and their Implications for Developing Countries*, by Robert Z. Lawrence, November 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 48, *Trade Policies in a Global Context: Technical Specifications of the Rural/Urban-North/South (RUNS) Applied General Equilibrium Model*, by Jean-Marc Burniaux and Dominique van der Mensbrugghe, November 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 49, *Macro-Micro Linkages: Structural Adjustment and Fertilizer Policy in Sub-Saharan Africa*, by Jean-Marc Fontaine with the collaboration of Alice Sinzingre, December 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 50, *Aggregation by Industry in General Equilibrium Models with International Trade*, by Peter J. Lloyd, December 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 51, *Policy and Entrepreneurial Responses to the Montreal Protocol: Some Evidence from the Dynamic Asian Economies*, by David C. O'Connor, December 1991.
- Technical Paper No. 52, *On the Pricing of LDC Debt: an Analysis Based on Historical Evidence from Latin America*, by Beatriz Armendariz de Aghion, February 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 53, *Economic Regionalisation and Intra-Industry Trade: Pacific-Asian Perspectives*, by Kiichiro Fukasaku, February 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 54, *Debt Conversions in Yugoslavia*, by Mojmir Mrak, February 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 55, *Evaluation of Nigeria's Debt-Relief Experience (1985-1990)*, by N.E. Ogbé, March 1992.
- Document technique No. 56, *L'Expérience de l'allègement de la dette du Mali*, par Jean-Claude Berthélemy, février 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 57, *Conflict or Indifference: US Multinationals in a World of Regional Trading Blocs*, by Louis T. Wells, Jr., March 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 58, *Japan's Rapidly Emerging Strategy Toward Asia*, by Edward J. Lincoln, April 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 59, *The Political Economy of Stabilization Programmes in Developing Countries*, by Bruno S. Frey and Reiner Eichenberger, April 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 60, *Some Implications of Europe 1992 for Developing Countries*, by Sheila Page, April 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 61, *Taiwanese Corporations in Globalisation and Regionalisation*, by Gee San, April 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 62, *Lessons from the Family Planning Experience for Community-Based Environmental Education*, by Winifred Weekes-Vagliani, April 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 63, *Mexican Agriculture in the Free Trade Agreement: Transition Problems in Economic Reform*, by Santiago Levy and Sweder van Wijnbergen, May 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 64, *Offensive and Defensive Responses by European Multinationals to a World of Trade Blocs*, by John M. Stopford, May 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 65, *Economic Integration in the Pacific*, by Richard Drobnick, May 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 66, *Latin America in a Changing Global Environment*, by Winston Fritsch, May 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 67, *An Assessment of the Brady Plan Agreements*, by Jean-Claude Berthélemy and Robert Lensink, May 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 68, *The Impact of Economic Reform on the Performance of the Seed Sector in Eastern and Southern Africa*, by Elizabeth Cromwell, May 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 69, *Impact of Structural Adjustment and Adoption of Technology on Competitiveness of Major Cocoa Producing Countries*, by Emily M. Bloomfield and R. Antony Lass, June 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 70, *Structural Adjustment and Moroccan Agriculture: an Assessment of the Reforms in the Sugar and Cereal Sectors*, by Jonathan Kydd and Sophie Thoyer, June 1992.

- Document technique No. 71, *L'Allégement de la dette au Club de Paris : les évolutions récentes en perspective*, par Ann Vourc'h, juin 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 72, *Biotechnology and the Changing Public/Private Sector Balance: Developments in Rice and Cocoa*, by Carliene Brenner, July 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 73, *Namibian Agriculture: Policies and Prospects*, by Walter Elkan, Peter Amutenya, Jochbeth Andima, Robin Sherbourne and Eline van der Linden, July 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 74, *Agriculture and the Policy Environment: Zambia and Zimbabwe*, by Doris J. Jansen and Andrew Rukovo, July 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 75, *Agricultural Productivity and Economic Policies: Concepts and Measurements*, by Yair Mundlak, August 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 76, *Structural Adjustment and the Institutional Dimensions of Agricultural Research and Development in Brazil: Soybeans, Wheat and Sugar Cane*, by John Wilkinson and Bernardo Sorj, August 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 77, *The Impact of Laws and Regulations on Micro and Small Enterprises in Niger and Swaziland*, by Isabelle Joumard, Carl Liedholm and Donald Mead, September 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 78, *Co-Financing Transactions between Multilateral Institutions and International Banks*, by Michel Bouchet and Amit Ghose, October 1992.
- Document technique No. 79, *Allégement de la dette et croissance : le cas mexicain*, par Jean-Claude Berthélemy et Ann Vourc'h, octobre 1992.
- Document technique No. 80, *Le Secteur informel en Tunisie : cadre réglementaire et pratique courante*, par Abderrahman Ben Zakour et Farouk Kria, novembre 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 81, *Small-Scale Industries and Institutional Framework in Thailand*, by Naruemol Bunjongjit and Xavier Oudin, November 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 81a, *Statistical Annex: Small-Scale Industries and Institutional Framework in Thailand*, by Naruemol Bunjongjit and Xavier Oudin, November 1992.
- Document technique No. 82, *L'Expérience de l'allégement de la dette du Niger*, par Ann Vourc'h et Maina Boukar Moussa, novembre 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 83, *Stabilization and Structural Adjustment in Indonesia: an Intertemporal General Equilibrium Analysis*, by David Roland-Holst, November 1992.
- Technical Paper No. 84, *Striving for International Competitiveness: Lessons from Electronics for Developing Countries*, by Jan Maarten de Vet, March 1993.
- Document technique No. 85, *Micro-entreprises et cadre institutionnel en Algérie*, par Hocine Benissad, mars 1993.
- Technical Paper No. 86, *Informal Sector and Regulations in Ecuador and Jamaica*, by Emilio Klein and Victor E. Tokman, August 1993.
- Technical Paper No. 87, *Alternative Explanations of the Trade-Output Correlation in the East Asian Economies*, by Colin I. Bradford Jr. and Naomi Chakwin, August 1993.
- Document technique No. 88, *La Faisabilité politique de l'ajustement dans les pays africains*, par Christian Morrisson, Jean-Dominique Lafay et Sébastien Dessus, novembre 1993.
- Technical Paper No. 89, *China as a Leading Pacific Economy*, by Kiichiro Fukasaku and Mingyuan Wu, November 1993.
- Technical Paper No. 90, *A Detailed Input-Output Table for Morocco, 1990*, by Maurizio Bussolo and David Roland-Holst, November 1993.
- Technical Paper No. 91, *International Trade and the Transfer of Environmental Costs and Benefits*, by Hiro Lee and David Roland-Holst, December 1993.
- Technical Paper No. 92, *Economic Instruments in Environmental Policy: Lessons from the OECD Experience and their Relevance to Developing Economies*, by Jean-Philippe Barde, January 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 93, *What Can Developing Countries Learn from OECD Labour Market Programmes and Policies?*, by Åsa Sohlman with David Turnham, January 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 94, *Trade Liberalization and Employment Linkages in the Pacific Basin*, by Hiro Lee and David Roland-Holst, February 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 95, *Participatory Development and Gender: Articulating Concepts and Cases*, by Winifred Weekes-Vagliani, February 1994.
- Document technique No. 96, *Promouvoir la maîtrise locale et régionale du développement : une démarche participative à Madagascar*, par Philippe de Rham et Bernard J. Lecomte, juin 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 97, *The OECD Green Model: an Updated Overview*, by Hiro Lee, Joaquim Oliveira-Martins and Dominique van der Mensbrugge, August 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 98, *Pension Funds, Capital Controls and Macroeconomic Stability*, by Helmut Reisen and John Williamson, August 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 99, *Trade and Pollution Linkages: Piecemeal Reform and Optimal Intervention*, by John Beghin, David Roland-Holst and Dominique van der Mensbrugge, October 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 100, *International Initiatives in Biotechnology for Developing Country Agriculture: Promises and Problems*, by Carliene Brenner and John Komen, October 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 101, *Input-based Pollution Estimates for Environmental Assessment in Developing Countries*, by Sébastien Dessus, David Roland-Holst and Dominique van der Mensbrugge, October 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 102, *Transitional Problems from Reform to Growth: Safety Nets and Financial Efficiency in the Adjusting Egyptian Economy*, by Mahmoud Abdel-Fadil, December 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 103, *Biotechnology and Sustainable Agriculture: Lessons from India*, by Ghayur Alam, December 1994.
- Technical Paper No. 104, *Crop Biotechnology and Sustainability: a Case Study of Colombia*, by Luis R. Sanint, January 1995.
- Technical Paper No. 105, *Biotechnology and Sustainable Agriculture: the Case of Mexico*, by José Luis Solleiro Rebolledo, January 1995.
- Technical Paper No. 106, *Empirical Specifications for a General Equilibrium Analysis of Labor Market Policies and Adjustments*, by Andréa Maechler and David Roland-Holst, May 1995.
- Document technique No. 107, *Les Migrants, partenaires de la coopération internationale : le cas des Maliens de France*, par Christophe Daum, juillet 1995.
- Document technique No. 108, *Ouverture et croissance industrielle en Chine : étude empirique sur un échantillon de villes*, par Sylvie Démurger, septembre 1995.

- Technical Paper No. 109, *Biotechnology and Sustainable Crop Production in Zimbabwe*, by John J. Woodend, December 1995.
- Document technique No. 110, *Politiques de l'environnement et libéralisation des échanges au Costa Rica : une vue d'ensemble*, par Sébastien Dessus et Maurizio Bussolo, février 1996.
- Technical Paper No. 111, *Grow Now/Clean Later, or the Pursuit of Sustainable Development?*, by David O'Connor, March 1996.
- Technical Paper No. 112, *Economic Transition and Trade-Policy Reform: Lessons from China*, by Kiichiro Fukasaku and Henri-Bernard Solignac Lecomte, July 1996.
- Technical Paper No. 113, *Chinese Outward Investment in Hong Kong: Trends, Prospects and Policy Implications*, by Yun-Wing Sung, July 1996.
- Technical Paper No. 114, *Vertical Intra-industry Trade between China and OECD Countries*, by Lisbeth Hellvin, July 1996.
- Document technique No. 115, *Le Rôle du capital public dans la croissance des pays en développement au cours des années 80*, par Sébastien Dessus et Rémy Herrera, juillet 1996.
- Technical Paper No. 116, *General Equilibrium Modelling of Trade and the Environment*, by John Beghin, Sébastien Dessus, David Roland-Holst and Dominique van der Mensbrugge, September 1996.
- Technical Paper No. 117, *Labour Market Aspects of State Enterprise Reform in Viet Nam*, by David O'Connor, September 1996.
- Document technique No. 118, *Croissance et compétitivité de l'industrie manufacturière au Sénégal*, par Thierry Latreille et Aristomène Varoudakis, octobre 1996.
- Technical Paper No. 119, *Evidence on Trade and Wages in the Developing World*, by Donald J. Robbins, December 1996.
- Technical Paper No. 120, *Liberalising Foreign Investments by Pension Funds: Positive and Normative Aspects*, by Helmut Reisen, January 1997.
- Document technique No. 121, *Capital Humain, ouverture extérieure et croissance : estimation sur données de panel d'un modèle à coefficients variables*, par Jean-Claude Berthélemy, Sébastien Dessus et Aristomène Varoudakis, janvier 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 122, *Corruption: The Issues*, by Andrew W. Goudie and David Stasavage, January 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 123, *Outflows of Capital from China*, by David Wall, March 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 124, *Emerging Market Risk and Sovereign Credit Ratings*, by Guillermo Larraín, Helmut Reisen and Julia von Maltzan, April 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 125, *Urban Credit Co-operatives in China*, by Eric Girardin and Xie Ping, August 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 126, *Fiscal Alternatives of Moving from Unfunded to Funded Pensions*, by Robert Holzmann, August 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 127, *Trade Strategies for the Southern Mediterranean*, by Peter A. Petri, December 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 128, *The Case of Missing Foreign Investment in the Southern Mediterranean*, by Peter A. Petri, December 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 129, *Economic Reform in Egypt in a Changing Global Economy*, by Joseph Licari, December 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 130, *Do Funded Pensions Contribute to Higher Aggregate Savings? A Cross-Country Analysis*, by Jeanine Bailliu and Helmut Reisen, December 1997.
- Technical Paper No. 131, *Long-run Growth Trends and Convergence Across Indian States*, by Rayaprolu Nagaraj, Aristomène Varoudakis and Marie-Ange Véganzonès, January 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 132, *Sustainable and Excessive Current Account Deficits*, by Helmut Reisen, February 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 133, *Intellectual Property Rights and Technology Transfer in Developing Country Agriculture: Rhetoric and Reality*, by Carlene Brenner, March 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 134, *Exchange-rate Management and Manufactured Exports in Sub-Saharan Africa*, by Khalid Sekkat and Aristomène Varoudakis, March 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 135, *Trade Integration with Europe, Export Diversification and Economic Growth in Egypt*, by Sébastien Dessus and Akiko Suwa-Eisenmann, June 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 136, *Domestic Causes of Currency Crises: Policy Lessons for Crisis Avoidance*, by Helmut Reisen, June 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 137, *A Simulation Model of Global Pension Investment*, by Landis MacKellar and Helmut Reisen, August 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 138, *Determinants of Customs Fraud and Corruption: Evidence from Two African Countries*, by David Stasavage and Cécile Daubrée, August 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 139, *State Infrastructure and Productive Performance in Indian Manufacturing*, by Arup Mitra, Aristomène Varoudakis and Marie-Ange Véganzonès, August 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 140, *Rural Industrial Development in Viet Nam and China: A Study in Contrasts*, by David O'Connor, September 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 141, *Labour Market Aspects of State Enterprise Reform in China*, by Fan Gang, Maria Rosa Lunati and David O'Connor, October 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 142, *Fighting Extreme Poverty in Brazil: The Influence of Citizens' Action on Government Policies*, by Fernanda Lopes de Carvalho, November 1998.
- Technical Paper No. 143, *How Bad Governance Impedes Poverty Alleviation in Bangladesh*, by Rehman Sobhan, November 1998.
- Document technique No. 144, *La libéralisation de l'agriculture tunisienne et l'Union européenne : une vue prospective*, par Mohamed Abdelbasset Chemingui et Sébastien Dessus, février 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 145, *Economic Policy Reform and Growth Prospects in Emerging African Economies*, by Patrick Guillaumont, Sylviane Guillaumont Jeanneney and Aristomène Varoudakis, March 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 146, *Structural Policies for International Competitiveness in Manufacturing: The Case of Cameroon*, by Ludvig Söderling, March 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 147, *China's Unfinished Open-Economy Reforms: Liberalisation of Services*, by Kiichiro Fukasaku, Yu Ma and Qiumei Yang, April 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 148, *Boom and Bust and Sovereign Ratings*, by Helmut Reisen and Julia von Maltzan, June 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 149, *Economic Opening and the Demand for Skills in Developing Countries: A Review of Theory and Evidence*, by David O'Connor and Maria Rosa Lunati, June 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 150, *The Role of Capital Accumulation, Adjustment and Structural Change for Economic Take-off: Empirical Evidence from African Growth Episodes*, by Jean-Claude Berthélemy and Ludvig Söderling, July 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 151, *Gender, Human Capital and Growth: Evidence from Six Latin American Countries*, by Donald J. Robbins, September 1999.

- Technical Paper No. 152, *The Politics and Economics of Transition to an Open Market Economy in Viet Nam*, by James Riedel and William S. Turley, September 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 153, *The Economics and Politics of Transition to an Open Market Economy: China*, by Wing Thy Woo, October 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 154, *Infrastructure Development and Regulatory Reform in Sub-Saharan Africa: The Case of Air Transport*, by Andrea E. Goldstein, October 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 155, *The Economics and Politics of Transition to an Open Market Economy: India*, by Ashok V. Desai, October 1999.
- Technical Paper No. 156, *Climate Policy Without Tears: CGE-Based Ancillary Benefits Estimates for Chile*, by Sébastien Dessus and David O'Connor, November 1999.
- Document technique No. 157, *Dépenses d'éducation, qualité de l'éducation et pauvreté : l'exemple de cinq pays d'Afrique francophone*, par Katharina Michaelowa, avril 2000.
- Document technique No. 158, *Une estimation de la pauvreté en Afrique subsaharienne d'après les données anthropométriques*, par Christian Morrisson, Hélène Guilmeau et Charles Linskens, mai 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 159, *Converging European Transitions*, by Jorge Braga de Macedo, July 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 160, *Capital Flows and Growth in Developing Countries: Recent Empirical Evidence*, by Marcelo Soto, July 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 161, *Global Capital Flows and the Environment in the 21st Century*, by David O'Connor, July 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 162, *Financial Crises and International Architecture: A "Eurocentric" Perspective*, by Jorge Braga de Macedo, August 2000.
- Document technique No. 163, *Résoudre le problème de la dette : de l'initiative PPTTE à Cologne*, par Anne Joseph, août 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 164, *E-Commerce for Development: Prospects and Policy Issues*, by Andrea Goldstein and David O'Connor, September 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 165, *Negative Alchemy? Corruption and Composition of Capital Flows*, by Shang-Jin Wei, October 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 166, *The HIPC Initiative: True and False Promises*, by Daniel Cohen, October 2000.
- Document technique No. 167, *Les facteurs explicatifs de la malnutrition en Afrique subsaharienne*, par Christian Morrisson et Charles Linskens, octobre 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 168, *Human Capital and Growth: A Synthesis Report*, by Christopher A. Pissarides, November 2000.
- Technical Paper No. 169, *Obstacles to Expanding Intra-African Trade*, by Roberto Longo and Khalid Sekkat, March 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 170, *Regional Integration In West Africa*, by Ernest Aryeetey, March 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 171, *Regional Integration Experience in the Eastern African Region*, by Andrea Goldstein and Njuguna S. Ndung'u, March 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 172, *Integration and Co-operation in Southern Africa*, by Carolyn Jenkins, March 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 173, *FDI in Sub-Saharan Africa*, by Ludger Odenthal, March 2001.
- Document technique No. 174, *La réforme des télécommunications en Afrique subsaharienne*, par Patrick Plane, mars 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 175, *Fighting Corruption in Customs Administration: What Can We Learn from Recent Experiences?*, by Irène Hors; April 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 176, *Globalisation and Transformation: Illusions and Reality*, by Grzegorz W. Kolodko, May 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 177, *External Solvency, Dollarisation and Investment Grade: Towards a Virtuous Circle?*, by Martin Grandes, June 2001.
- Document technique No. 178, *Congo 1965-1999: Les espoirs déçus du « Brésil africain »*, par Joseph Maton avec Henri-Bernard Sollignac Lecomte, septembre 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 179, *Growth and Human Capital: Good Data, Good Results*, by Daniel Cohen and Marcelo Soto, September 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 180, *Corporate Governance and National Development*, by Charles P. Oman, October 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 181, *How Globalisation Improves Governance*, by Federico Bonaglia, Jorge Braga de Macedo and Maurizio Bussolo, November 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 182, *Clearing the Air in India: The Economics of Climate Policy with Ancillary Benefits*, by Maurizio Bussolo and David O'Connor, November 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 183, *Globalisation, Poverty and Inequality in sub-Saharan Africa: A Political Economy Appraisal*, by Yvonne M. Tsikata, December 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 184, *Distribution and Growth in Latin America in an Era of Structural Reform: The Impact of Globalisation*, by Samuel A. Morley, December 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 185, *Globalisation, Liberalisation, Poverty and Income Inequality in Southeast Asia*, by K.S. Jomo, December 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 186, *Globalisation, Growth and Income Inequality: The African Experience*, by Steve Kayizzi-Mugerwa, December 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 187, *The Social Impact of Globalisation in Southeast Asia*, by Mari Pangestu, December 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 188, *Where Does Inequality Come From? Ideas and Implications for Latin America*, by James A. Robinson, December 2001.
- Technical Paper No. 189, *Policies and Institutions for E-Commerce Readiness: What Can Developing Countries Learn from OECD Experience?*, by Paulo Bastos Tigre and David O'Connor, April 2002.
- Document technique No. 190, *La réforme du secteur financier en Afrique*, par Anne Joseph, juillet 2002.
- Technical Paper No. 191, *Virtuous Circles? Human Capital Formation, Economic Development and the Multinational Enterprise*, by Ethan B. Kapstein, August 2002.
- Technical Paper No. 192, *Skill Upgrading in Developing Countries: Has Inward Foreign Direct Investment Played a Role?*, by Matthew J. Slaughter, August 2002.
- Technical Paper No. 193, *Government Policies for Inward Foreign Direct Investment in Developing Countries: Implications for Human Capital Formation and Income Inequality*, by Dirk Willem te Velde, August 2002.
- Technical Paper No. 194, *Foreign Direct Investment and Intellectual Capital Formation in Southeast Asia*, by Bryan K. Ritchie, August 2002.
- Technical Paper No. 195, *FDI and Human Capital: A Research Agenda*, by Magnus Blomström and Ari Kokko, August 2002.

Technical Paper No. 196, *Knowledge Diffusion from Multinational Enterprises: The Role of Domestic and Foreign Knowledge-Enhancing Activities*, by Yasuyuki Todo and Koji Miyamoto, August 2002.

Technical Paper No. 197, *Why Are Some Countries So Poor? Another Look at the Evidence and a Message of Hope*, by Daniel Cohen and Marcelo Soto, October 2002.

Technical Paper No. 198, *Choice of an Exchange-Rate Arrangement, Institutional Setting and Inflation: Empirical Evidence from Latin America*, by Andreas Freytag, October 2002.

Technical Paper No. 199, *Will Basel II Affect International Capital Flows to Emerging Markets?*, by Beatrice Weder and Michael Wedow, October 2002.

Technical Paper No. 200, *Convergence and Divergence of Sovereign Bond Spreads: Lessons from Latin America*, by Martin Grandes, October 2002.

Technical Paper No. 201, *Prospects for Emerging-Market Flows amid Investor Concerns about Corporate Governance*, by Helmut Reisen, November 2002.

Technical Paper No. 202, *Rediscovering Education in Growth Regressions*, by Marcelo Soto, November 2002.

Technical Paper No. 203, *Incentive Bidding for Mobile Investment: Economic Consequences and Potential Responses*, by Andrew Charlton, January 2003.