Indicator C1. How much is spent per student on educational institutions?

Highlights

- Across OECD countries, expenditure per student averages around USD 10 700 at the primary level, USD 11 900 at secondary and USD 18 100 at tertiary level. This reflects the fact that higher levels of education often require teachers to have more advanced qualifications and specialised knowledge which are usually accompanied by higher salaries.
- Vocational education and training (VET) programmes, which often require specific equipment and infrastructure, typically cost more per student than general programmes. On average across OECD countries, expenditure per student is about USD 11 400 in general upper secondary programmes, compared to about USD 13 200 in vocational programmes.
- On average expenditure per student in 2020, the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic, was similar to that of 2019 (0.4% increase). However, some countries reported a notable increase in total expenditure on primary to tertiary educational institutions per full-time equivalent student, such as Colombia (9.0%) and Lithuania (13.7%).

Context

The objective of policy makers to expand access to educational opportunities and to provide high-quality education can translate into higher costs which must be balanced against other demands on public expenditure and the overall tax burden. As a result, the question of whether the resources devoted to education yield adequate returns is featured prominently in public debate. Although it is difficult to assess the optimal level of resources needed to prepare students for life and work in modern societies, international comparisons of spending on educational institutions per student can provide useful reference points.

This Indicator provides an assessment of the investment in each student. Expenditure per student on educational institutions is influenced by teachers' salaries (see Indicator D3), pension systems, instructional and teaching hours (see Indicator D4), the cost of teaching materials and facilities (see Indicator C6 in (OECD, 2022_[1])), and the number of students enrolled in the education system (see Indicator B1). Policies to attract new teachers, reduce average class sizes or change staffing patterns have also affected per-student expenditure. In some countries expenditure on ancillary services and R&D can also have great influence on the expenditure per student.

Figure C1.1. Total expenditure per full-time equivalent upper secondary education student, by programme orientation (2020)



In equivalent USD converted using PPPs, expenditure on educational institutions

1. Data on upper secondary includes another level of education. Refer to the source table for more details.

2. Year of reference differs from 2020. Refer to the source table for more details.

Countries are ranked in descending order of the total expenditure per full-time equivalent student in all upper secondary education. **Source**: OECD/UIS/Eurostat (2023), Table C1.1. For more information see Source section and <u>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies</u> and <u>Technical Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

StatLink ms https://stat.link/gytcrx

Other findings

- Due to their hands-on nature, vocational programmes might be expected to have fewer students for every teaching staff member than general programmes, and consequently greater expenditure per student. However, the relationship is not easy to establish, especially because of complexities in reporting consistent enrolment and expenditure data for the work-based part of vocational education.
- Luxembourg stands out as the country with the highest expenditure across all programme orientations at upper secondary level (over USD 26 000 per student), with both vocational and general education receiving substantial funding. In contrast, Bulgaria, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and the Republic of Türkiye spend less than USD 6 000 per full-time equivalent upper secondary education student.
- The cumulative spending on each student between the age of 6 and the age of 15 adds up to a total
 of around USD 112 000 on average across OECD countries. This varies considerably across member
 and accession countries: Austria, Denmark, Iceland, Luxembourg and Norway spend over
 USD 150 000 per student over these years, while the figure is less than USD 50 000 in Colombia,
 Romania and Türkiye.

Analysis

Overall expenditure per student on educational institutions

Annual expenditure per student on primary to tertiary educational institutions provides an assessment of the investment made in each student. In 2020, the average annual spending per student from primary to tertiary education in OECD countries as a whole was around USD 12 500. But this average masks a broad range of spending across OECD countries. Annual spending per student ranged from around USD 3 200 in Mexico and USD 4 500 in Colombia to over USD 26 800 in Luxembourg (Table C1.1). The drivers of expenditure per student vary across countries and by level of education: in Luxembourg, for example, low ratios of students to teaching staff and high teachers' salaries at primary and secondary levels (see Indicator D3) are reflected in high levels of expenditure per student. In contrast, Colombia has one of the highest ratios of students to teaching staff, which tends to drive costs down (see Indicator D7). These differences can also be attributed to the diverse levels of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and national wealth, with Colombia and Luxembourg representing the opposite ends among OECD countries (see Indicator C2).

Box C1.1. The challenges in collecting comparable expenditure data on general and vocational education programmes across OECD member and partner countries

Reporting expenditure data on education is a complex task that requires countries to collect data from multiple sources to accurately report on the financial resources allocated to different levels of education and programme orientation. Obtaining accurate and comprehensive expenditure data for vocational education and training (VET) poses various challenges across OECD countries that may affect the comparability of VET expenditure statistics.

Some countries are not able to report spending by programme orientation, while others need to employ various criteria and methodologies to divide expenditure between vocational and general education. These take into account factors such as levels of education, programme types and enrolment figures. This box aims to shed light on some of the challenges as well as the criteria employed by OECD countries for dividing expenditure data by level of education and programme orientation.

Challenges associated with the institutional setting

One of the significant challenges in accurately splitting expenditure data between general and vocational education is that teachers might teach in both programme orientations and at multiple levels within the same educational institution. For instance, when teachers deliver courses in both general and vocational programmes, allocating their salaries between these two orientations becomes a complex task. Expenditure on salaries may not have a direct and clear demarcation between the time spent teaching in general education and vocational education. A similar problem can arise when the same teachers are involved in teaching at different levels of education. Countries may face difficulties in accurately allocating teachers' salaries between different levels of education when teachers are responsible for instruction in both lower and upper secondary education.

In the case of vocational programmes, an additional difficulty arises when considering expenditure related to work-based settings. While institutions report on students in vocational education, gathering information on the expenditure incurred by the companies or organisations where they undertake their internships or apprenticeships is more challenging. This relates for example to the expenditure on staff responsible for training the apprentices in companies. The coverage of work-based expenditure varies from country to country; less than half of countries report that the data they provide on VET each year partly or fully cover expenditure related to work-based training (see Box C4.1).

Individual country challenges to reporting VET expenditure

A common approach in reporting VET expenditure data is to estimate spending by programme orientation by using enrolment figures to distribute expenditure between general and vocational education programmes. Australia, Belgium, Chile, Denmark, Estonia, France, Germany, Latvia, Lithuania, New Zealand, Norway, Spain and Sweden all report doing this at least to some extent.

In Canada and the United States, only vocational programmes at post-secondary non-tertiary or short-cycle tertiary level are typically classified as vocational. This separation of vocational education from upper secondary education reduces the complexity associated with estimating expenditure data across different levels of education. However, in Canada, each province/territory has its own funding mechanism for VET programmes, making it significantly harder to collect national evidence. The Canadian Financial Information of Community Colleges and Vocational Schools (FINCOL) surveys only cover public institutions, therefore capturing only a limited part of the complex system of VET financing.

Accurately reporting expenditure is challenging when data sources are incomplete, specific programme-level information is not available, or when it is difficult to break down the structure of the education system and its associated finances into the different levels of education identified by the 2011 International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED-2011). This may explain why countries such as Brazil, Colombia and Japan, are unable to report expenditure data on VET separately, or why expenditure on upper secondary vocational education might include expenditure from other levels of education, as in Switzerland.

In conclusion, it is important to acknowledge the diverse approaches and challenges associated with dividing expenditure data between vocational and general education. Even though many countries can estimate spending by programme orientation using enrolment figures, some may still encounter difficulties in reporting VET expenditure, especially when data sources are incomplete or specific programme-level information is lacking. Furthermore, even when expenditure data on VET are available, differences in coverage and estimation methods can introduce discrepancies and potentially reduce the relevance of cross-country comparisons. Therefore, it is important to promote standardised methodologies for reporting VET expenditure data to produce accurate analyses and support informed decision making.

Expenditure per student on educational institutions by level of education and programme orientation

The way resources are allocated varies widely from level to level and largely reflects the structure of the education systems. However, education still essentially takes place in settings with generally similar organisations, curricula, teaching styles and management. These shared features have tended to result in similar patterns of expenditure per student from primary to post-secondary non-tertiary levels. OECD countries as a whole spend on average around USD 10 700 per student at the primary level, USD 11 900 at secondary level and USD 18 100 at tertiary level (Table C1.1). At the secondary level, particularly at upper secondary, expenditure is strongly influenced by the programme orientation. Vocational education and training (VET) programmes, which may require specific equipment and infrastructure, typically cost more per student than general programmes.

On average across OECD countries, expenditure per student in general upper secondary programmes is about USD 11 400, compared to about USD 13 200 in vocational programmes. Luxembourg stands out as the country with the highest expenditure per student across all programme orientations at upper secondary education (over USD 26 000), with both vocational and general education receiving substantial funding. Korea and Switzerland also demonstrate significant investment in upper secondary education (over USD 19 000 per student), although no breakdown by programme orientation is available. In contrast, Bulgaria, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Türkiye spend less than USD 6 000 per full-time equivalent upper secondary education student. Except for Colombia, where data by programme orientation are not available, all these countries spend more per vocational upper

secondary student, with the greatest difference in Chile where expenditure amounts to around USD 8 600 per student in vocational programmes compared to around USD 5 100 in general programmes (Figure C1.1).

In Iceland, students in upper secondary vocational education receive significantly higher funding than those in upper secondary general education: over USD 6 500 more per student, the largest difference among countries with data available. Similarly, Austria, Germany, Lithuania, the Netherlands and Sweden also record a considerable difference, investing over USD 4 000 more per student in vocational programmes. Some countries are at the other end of the spectrum where the difference is reversed. For instance, Australia spends about USD 4 100 more per general upper secondary student than per vocational student, while New Zealand spends about USD 1 700 more. Slovenia and the United Kingdom also spend slightly more on each general upper secondary student than on each vocational one (Figure C1.1).

The lower spending per vocational upper secondary student in Australia is possibly linked to the limited availability of data for private vocational institutions, resulting in expenditure per full-time equivalent student in vocational programmes being underestimated. Due to the use of multiple data sources, it is not possible for Australia to perfectly match enrolments to expenditure.

As well as highlighting some of the caveats required when reporting expenditure data for general and vocational education (Box C1.1), these data also illustrate the priorities and investments of different countries. Understanding differences between countries in the resources they invest per student can offer insights into their educational strategies and policies and their approach to equipping students with the skills most needed on the labour market.

Relationship between expenditure per student and the number of students per teaching staff

The relationship between expenditure per student and the number of students per teaching staff in general and vocational programmes can provide valuable insights into the educational resources allocated to each student. Greater expenditure per student coupled with a lower student-to-teaching staff ratio may indicate a greater investment in individualised attention and support for students. This can be particularly important in vocational programmes, which generally emphasise practical and hands-on training.

Vocational programmes might be expected to have lower student-to-teaching staff ratios than general programmes because of this hands-on nature, driving the expenditure per student upwards. However, no strong correlation is found when plotting differences in the expenditure per student (using information from the UNESCO, OECD and Eurostat (UOE) finance questionnaire) with the differences in the number of students per teaching staff (using information from the *Education at a Glance database* (OECD, 2023_[3])). Only 11 out of the 22 countries with available data report that upper secondary vocational programmes have smaller number of students per teaching staff member than general programmes, and these 11 countries are not necessarily those with the greatest difference in expenditure per student between vocational and general education. In other words, although the student-to-teaching staff ratio is indeed lower in vocational programmes in some instances, it does not consistently correlate with higher expenditure per student (Figure C1.2). This is potentially explained by an under-coverage of expenditure on staff in the work-based setting of vocational programmes.

Figure C1.2. Differences by programme orientation in expenditure per full-time equivalent student and number of students per teaching staff (2020)

Upper secondary education



1. Year of reference differs from 2020. Refer to the source table for more details.

2. Data on upper secondary includes another level of education. Refer to the source table for more details. **Source**: OECD/UIS/Eurostat (2023), Table C1.1 and the *Education at a Glance database*. For more information see *Source* section and <u>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources</u>, <u>Methodologies and Technical Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

StatLink msp https://stat.link/wuzm9j

Latvia is an interesting case where upper secondary vocational programmes have twice as many students per teacher as upper secondary general programmes, the largest difference across countries with data. This may be due to the fact that vocational programmes are significantly work-based, so vocational students spend a considerable amount of time outside of school while still enrolled (see Indicator D7). Despite the much higher ratio of students per teacher in vocational programmes in Latvia, expenditure per student in upper secondary vocational programmes is still higher than in general ones, with a ratio comparable to the OECD average. This could be related to the fact that Latvia captures expenditure associated to the work-based component, while other countries may not be able to report this information.

Expenditure per student on staff

Staff expenditure encompasses the salaries, pensions and other benefits earned by teaching and non-teaching staff and represents the largest category of expense in education budgets across all OECD countries. Attracting and retaining highly qualified professionals in the education sector requires competitive compensation packages. The investment in staff therefore reflects the level of recognition of the critical role personnel play in delivering quality education.

On average across OECD countries, expenditure on staff per full-time equivalent student is about USD 7 700 at primary level, USD 8 700 at secondary level and USD 11 200 at tertiary level, reflecting the increase in salaries with increasing levels of education (Table C1.4). This is partly because higher levels of education often require

more advanced qualifications and specialised knowledge. For example, professors in tertiary education typically hold doctoral degrees, which require additional years of education. The acquisition of higher qualifications is usually accompanied by higher salaries to reflect the greater level of expertise and educational attainment. Furthermore, unlike in lower levels of education, tertiary education staff include personnel involved in research and development activities, increasing staff expenditure per student at tertiary level.

At upper secondary level, expenditure on staff per full-time equivalent student amounts to about USD 8 800 on average across OECD countries: USD 8 400 per student in general programmes and USD 9 200 in vocational programmes (Figure C1.3). Luxembourg again stands out for its high staff expenditure of around USD 20 000 per upper secondary student, which is about twice the OECD average and significantly more than any other OECD country. It surpasses Belgium, the country with the second highest expenditure, by approximately USD 6 000 for both general and vocational upper secondary education. In contrast, in Chile, Colombia and Türkiye, expenditure on staff is below USD 4 000 per full-time equivalent upper secondary student. Despite low levels of expenditure per student, the difference between general and vocational education remains significant in Türkiye: about USD 2 800 per upper secondary student in general programmes and about USD 5 000 in vocational programmes.

Iceland has the largest difference in expenditure on staff per full-time equivalent student by programme orientation in upper secondary education. In general programmes, this expenditure is approximately USD 9 200, while in vocational programmes, it rises to around USD 14 300. Austria, the Netherlands and Sweden also have substantial differences in staff expenditure in favour of upper secondary vocational education, with gaps of over USD 2 500 per student (Figure C1.3). Data on the remuneration of teachers and school heads can partly explain some of these differences (see Indicator D3). For example, in the Netherlands, for the most prevalent teacher qualification, the starting salary of teachers in general upper secondary programmes is USD 48 662 while it is USD 54 232 in vocational upper secondary programmes.

Vocational programmes might be expected to have lower student-to-teaching staff ratios than general programmes because of this hands-on nature, driving the expenditure per student upwards. However, no strong correlation is found when plotting differences in the expenditure per student (using information from the UNESCO, OECD and Eurostat (UOE) finance questionnaire) with the differences in the number of students per teaching staff (using information from the *Education at a Glance database* (OECD, 2023_[3])). Only 10 out of the 23 countries with available data report that upper secondary vocational programmes have smaller number of students per teaching staff member than general programmes, and these 10 countries are not necessarily those with the greatest difference in expenditure per student between vocational and general education. In other words, although the student-to-teaching staff ratio is indeed lower in vocational programmes in some instances, it does not consistently correlate with higher expenditure per student (Figure C1.2). This is potentially explained by an under-coverage of expenditure on staff in the work-based setting of vocational programmes.

Figure C1.3. Expenditure on staff per full-time equivalent student in upper secondary education, by programme orientation (2020)

In equivalent USD converted using PPPs for GDP



1. Data on upper secondary includes another level of education. Refer to the source table for more details.

2. Year of reference differs from 2020. Refer to the source table for more details.

Countries are ranked in descending order of expenditure on staff in all upper secondary education per full-time equivalent student.

Source: OECD/UIS/Eurostat (2023), Table C1.4 available on line. For more information see Source section and <u>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources</u>, <u>Methodologies and Technical Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

StatLink ms https://stat.link/y08rtz

Cumulative expenditure over the expected duration of studies

Policy makers are interested in the relationship between the resources devoted to education and the outcomes of education systems (OECD, 2017_[4]). In order to compare the cost of education across countries, it is important to consider not just the annual expenditure per student, but also cumulative expenditure over the total period students are expected to spend at a given educational level. High expenditure per student, for example, might be offset by shorter programmes or fewer students accessing education at certain levels. On the other hand, a seemingly inexpensive education system per student might prove more costly overall if enrolment is high and students spend longer in school.

Primary and secondary education are usually compulsory across the OECD, and adding up the expenditure per student between the ages of 6 and 15 at these levels gives the theoretical cumulative expenditure per student for compulsory education. On average across OECD countries, the cumulative spending on each student between these ages adds up to a total of around USD 112 100. This total varies considerably across countries:

Austria, Denmark, Iceland, Luxembourg and Norway spend over USD 150 000 per student over these years, while the figure is less than USD 50 000 in Colombia, Romania and Türkiye (Table C1.6, available on line).

Total and public expenditure on educational institutions per student, by type of institution

The way resources are allocated to public and private institutions varies widely across educational levels, although both types of institutions have similar average levels of expenditure per student. On average across OECD countries, total expenditure on public institutions from primary to tertiary level amounts to about USD 12 600 per student, compared to under USD 13 000 in private ones. However, the differences are more substantial in countries such as Bulgaria, Latvia, the Netherlands and Türkiye, where expenditure per student on private institutions is at least 70% higher than expenditure on public ones. In contrast, in countries such as the Czech Republic, Luxembourg and New Zealand, expenditure on private institutions is at least 40% lower than on public institutions (Table C1.2).

Government funding for education is generally spent on public institutions but some countries spend a large part of the public budget on private educational institutions. On average across OECD countries, government expenditure per student on public educational institutions from primary to tertiary level (about USD 11 600) is nearly twice the government expenditure per student on private institutions (about USD 6 700). However, the gap varies at different levels of education. At non-tertiary levels, average government expenditure per student on public institutions is about USD 10 900, about 40% more than government expenditure on private institutions (about USD 7 600), whereas at tertiary level it averages about USD 14 800 on public institutions, more than three times the expenditure on private institutions (about USD 4 700) (Table C1.2).

Change in expenditure per student on educational institutions between 2019 and 2020

The regular UOE data collection on education finance now covers the year 2020, providing an opportunity to examine how education finance changed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic across OECD countries. As schools were closed for extended periods in 2020, it was important to ensure that adequate resources were made available for remote learning and to maintain and expand student support programmes, and once schools reopened, it was critical to allocate additional funding to educational institutions (AI-Samarrai, Gangwar and Gala, 2020_[5]). Widespread school closures due to the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 was associated with an education expenditure increase in most countries (Figure C1.4). This can be attributed to several factors that influenced expenditure patterns during this challenging period.

For example, education systems had to rapidly adapt to remote learning and implement alternative educational strategies. Education authorities had to provide resources and support to ensure students had continued access to education (OECD, 2021_[6]; OECD, 2021_[7]). Teachers' salaries and staff-related expenses, which constitute the main expenditures in education systems, were maintained during the pandemic as education staff played a vital role in delivering remote education and supporting students' learning.

On average across OECD countries, the total expenditure on primary to tertiary educational institutions per fulltime equivalent student increased by 0.4% between 2019 and 2020. This reflects a 0.3% increase in the number of full-time-equivalent students and a 0.7% increase in expenditure. This apparent stability masks important differences among OECD countries. For example, in Colombia and Lithuania expenditure on primary to tertiary educational institutions increased by more than 7.0% between 2019 and 2020 despite falling enrolments of fulltime equivalent students. This change resulted in an increase in expenditure per student of 9.0% in Colombia and 13.7% in Lithuania. The increase reached 10.9% for primary to post-secondary non-tertiary students in Colombia and 18.6% for tertiary students in Lithuania. In contrast, in Chile, Hungary, Mexico and Türkiye, expenditure per student on primary to tertiary institutions fell by more than 6% during the same period (Figure C1.4 and Table C1.3).

Figure C1.4. Change in total expenditure on primary to tertiary educational institutions per full-time equivalent student (2019 to 2020)

In per cent, 2015 constant prices and constant PPPs



Countries are ranked in descending order of growth in total expenditure on primary to tertiary educational institutions per full-time equivalent student. **Source** : OECD/UIS/Eurostat (2023), Table C1.3. For more information see Source section and <u>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies</u> and <u>Technical Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

StatLink and https://stat.link/xdif0z

Provisional data on education expenditure in 2021 are available for a small number of countries. These figures are useful for a comparative look at the trends going into the second year of the COVID-19 health crisis (Box C1.2).

Box C1.2. Provisional data on the change in expenditure per student in 2021

Between 2020 and 2021, expenditures per student in primary to tertiary education increased in Denmark, Germany, New Zealand, Slovenia, Spain and Türkiye, decreased in Croatia and Lithuania, and was stable in Sweden. For primary to post-secondary non-tertiary education, except for Lithuania (-0.5%), all countries with data report an increase in expenditure per student, ranging from 0.4% in Sweden to 7.7% in Slovenia. The opposite is observed for tertiary education where most countries with data report a decrease in expenditure per student. Costa Rica reports the highest drop (-8.3%). Croatia, Denmark and Türkiye are the only countries

where expenditure per student increased for both primary to post-secondary non-tertiary education and for tertiary education. In contrast, expenditure decreased for both groups in Lithuania.

Figure C1.5. Change in total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student, by level of education (2020 to 2021)





1. Provisional data for 2021.

Countries are ranked in descending order of growth in total expenditure on primary to tertiary educational institutions per full-time equivalent student. **Source**: OECD/Eurostat (2023). For more information see Source section and <u>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies and Technical</u> <u>Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

StatLink ms https://stat.link/tux2d7

Definitions

Ancillary services are services provided by educational institutions that are peripheral to their main educational mission. The main component of ancillary services is student welfare. In primary, secondary and post-secondary non-tertiary education, student welfare services include meals, school health services and transport to and from school. At the tertiary level, they include residence halls (dormitories), dining halls and health care.

Core educational services include all expenditure that is directly related to instruction in educational institutions, including teachers' salaries, construction and maintenance of school buildings, teaching materials, books, and school administration.

Research and development includes research performed at universities and other tertiary educational institutions, regardless of whether the research is financed from general institutional funds or through separate grants or contracts from public or private sponsors.

Methodology

The annual average growth rate is calculated using the compound annual growth rate which shows the geometric progression ratio that provides a constant rate of return over the time period under analysis.

Expenditure per student on educational institutions at a particular level of education is calculated by dividing total expenditure on educational institutions at that level by the corresponding full-time equivalent enrolment. Only educational institutions and programmes for which both enrolment and expenditure data are available are taken into account. Expenditure in national currencies is converted into equivalent USD by dividing the national currency figure by the purchasing power parity (PPP) index for GDP. The PPP conversion factor is used because the market exchange rate is affected by many factors (interest rates, trade policies, expectations of economic growth, etc.) that have little to do with current relative domestic purchasing power in different OECD countries (see Annex 2 for further details).

Data on subnational regions on how much is spent per student are adjusted using national PPPs. Future work on the cost of living at subnational level would be required to fully adjust the expenditure per student used in this section.

Expenditure per student on educational institutions relative to GDP per capita is calculated by dividing expenditure per student on educational institutions by GDP per capita. In cases where the educational expenditure data and the GDP data pertain to different reference periods, the expenditure data are adjusted to the same reference period as the GDP data, using inflation rates for the OECD country in question (see Annex 2).

Full-time equivalent student: The ranking of OECD countries by annual expenditure on educational services per student is affected by differences in how countries define full-time, part-time and full-time equivalent enrolment. Some OECD countries count every participant at the tertiary level as a full-time student, while others determine students' intensity of participation by the credits that they obtain for the successful completion of specific course units during a specified reference period. OECD countries that can accurately account for part-time enrolment have higher apparent expenditure per full-time equivalent student on educational institutions than OECD countries that cannot differentiate between the different types of student attendance.

Vocational education and training expenditure: Expenditure on workplace training provided by private companies is only included when it is part of combined school- and work-based programmes, provided that the school-based component represents at least 10% of the study over the whole programme duration. Other types of employer-provided workplace training (e.g. entirely work-based training or employee training that takes place 95% at work) are excluded. Expenditure on VET programmes include the expenditure on training (e.g. salaries and other compensation of instructors and other personnel, as well as the cost of instructional materials and equipment). However, it excludes apprentices' wages and other compensation to students or apprentices.

Please see the OECD Handbook for Internationally Comparative Education Statistics (OECD, 2018_[8]) for more information and (OECD, 2023_[2]), Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies and Technical Notes, (<u>https://doi.org/10.1787/d7f76adc-en</u>), for country-specific notes.

[5]

Source

Data refer to the financial year 2020 (unless otherwise specified) and are based on the UNESCO, OECD and Eurostat (UOE) data collection on education statistics administered by the OECD in 2022 (for details see (OECD, 2023_[2]), Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies and Technical Notes, (<u>https://doi.org/10.1787/d7f76adc-en</u>). Data from Argentina, China, India, Indonesia, Peru, Saudi Arabia and South Africa are from the UNESCO Institute of Statistics (UIS).

The data on expenditure for 2019 to 2021 were updated based on a survey in 2022-23 and adjusted to the methods and definitions used in the current UOE data collection. Provisional data on educational expenditure in 2021 are based on an ad-hoc data collection administered by the OECD and Eurostat in 2022.

Al-Samarrai, S., M. Gangwar and P. Gala (2020), The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Education

References

Financing, World Bank, Washington, DC, https://doi.org/10.1596/33739.	
Mallick, L., P. Das and K. Pradhan (2016), "Impact of educational expenditure on economic growth in major Asian countries: Evidence from econometric analysis", <i>Theoretical and Applied Economics</i> , Vol. XXIII/2, pp. 173-186.	[9]
OECD (2023), <i>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies and Technical Notes</i> , OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/d7f76adc-en</u> .	[2]
OECD (2023), Education at a Glance Database, https://stats.oecd.org/.	[3]
OECD (2022), <i>Education at a Glance 2022: OECD Indicators</i> , OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/3197152b-en.	[1]
OECD (2021), The State of Global Education: 18 Months into the Pandemic, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/1a23bb23-en.	[7]
OECD (2021), <i>The State of School Education: One Year into the COVID Pandemic</i> , OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/201dde84-en</u> .	[6]
OECD (2018), OECD Handbook for Internationally Comparative Education Statistics 2018, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264304444-en</u> .	[8]
OECD (2017), <i>Education at a Glance 2017: OECD Indicators</i> , OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2017-en.	[4]

Tables Indicator C1. How much is spent per student on educational institutions?

Table C1.1	Total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student (2020)
Table C1.2	Government and total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student, by type of institution (2020)
Table C1.3	Change in total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student (2019 to 2020)
WEB Table C1.4	Expenditure on staff per full-time equivalent student (2020)
WEB Table C1.5	Total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student, by source of funds (2020)
WEB Table C1.6	Cumulative expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student between the age of 6 and 15 (2020)
WEB Table C1.7	Change in total and government expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student (2020 to 2021)

StatLink 📷 https://stat.link/dfl7me

Cut-off date for the data: 15 June 2023. Any updates on data can be found on line at: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/eag-data-en</u>. More breakdowns can also be found at: <u>http://stats.oecd.org/</u>, *Education at a Glance Database*.

Table C1.1. Total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student (2020)

In equivalent USD converted using PPPs for GDP, direct expenditure within educational institutions, by level of education

	Secondary								Tert					
			Upper secondary				tiary							
	Primary	Lower secondary	General programmes	Vocational programmes	All programmes	All secondary	Post-secondary non-ter	Primary, secondary and post-secondary non-tertiary	Short-cycle tertiary	Bachelor's, master's and doctoral or equivalent	All tertiary	All tertiary (excluding R&D)	Primary to tertiary	Primary to te rtiary (excluding R&D)
OE CD countries	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Australia	12 6 73	15 714	16 0 68	12 00 0	14 947	15 4 37	10 167	13 84 9	11 980	24 32 5	22 204	14 817	15 620	14 05 4
Austria	14 0 29	1/ 30/	15 101	19469	17 69 5	1/4/8	4 6 26	16 00 4	18 947	22 251	21 /53	13/11	1/ /44	15 310
Canada ^{1,2}	12 0 13 11 53 3d	x(1)	15 579°	17 3 15° x(5)	10 543*	10 570°	x(3, 4, 5, 6)	14 920	16 632	22 917	22 000	14791 m	15 429	14 09 4 m
Chile	5 917	6 153	5 147	8 63 9	5 720	5 8 6 8	a	5 893	5 296	12 2 5 2	10 458	10.0.82	7 184	7 0 77
Colombia ²	4 364	4 335	x(5)	x(5)	4 357	4 341	m	4 3 52	x(11)	x(11)	4 981	m	4 481	m
Costa Rica ³	m	m	m	m	m	m	а	m	x(11)	x(11)	13 776	m	m	m
Czech Republic	8 46 6	12 760	11 313	12 799	12 374	12 579	2 2 2 1	10 858	31 028	16 190	16 237	10 0 67	11 846	10 713
Denmark	14 2 7 3	17 402	10 959	11 947	11 34 4	14 125	а	14 193	13 681	24 60 8	23 432	10 8 52	16 312	13 427
Estonia	10 30 9	10 563	5 318	8 357	6 5 8 4	8 5 2 2	9 68 6	9426	а	17 93 0	17 930	10 9 82	11 088	9730
Finlan d	11 212	17 726	9 973	10 352°	10 2 38 °	12849	x(4, 5, 6)	12 181	a	19 58 3	19 583	10 8 32	13 705	11 903
France	9673	12 139	15 279	18 142	16 2 66	138/4	11 /8/	12 119	1/468	19 315	18 880	13 3 85	13 545	12 38 6
Greece ^{2,5}	7.467	7 3 6 /	5 7/0	20 3 94° 8 1 27	6 4 58	6 9 0 1	13700 m	7 175	/ 901	20700	20760	2603	6 1/6	5 5 30
Hungary	7 9 28	7 155	7 910	8 9 8 3	8 4 0 9	7772	10 26 9	7 9 2 1	2 9 1 4	12 47 7	12 098	9 164	8 612	8 126
Iceland	15 20 6	17 077	12 148	18 829	13 8 2 2	15 242	18 191	15 26 2	16 128	16 128	16 128	m	15 444	m
Ireland	9 58 9	11 88 0	x(5)	x(5)	10 891	11 379	37 694	11 09 0	x(11)	x(11)	17 400	12 231	12 194	11 28 6
l sra el	10 182	x(5)	x(5)	x(5)	9 562₫	9562	523	9823	5 571	15 617	12 314	8 731	10 279	9 624
I tal y	12 00 8	9 760	x(5)	x(5)	11 0 59 d	10 56 9 d	x(5, 6)	11 096	4 697	12 74 6	12 663	8 6 9 1	11 439	10 570
Japan	10 0 57	11 618	x(5)	x(5)	12 4 58 d	12 047 d	x(5, 6, 9, 10, 11)	11 076	13 974 d	21 15 3 ^d	19 676 ^d	m	13 006	m
Korea	13 2 78	14 805	x(5)	x(5)	19 2 39	17 0 38	a	15 14 8	6 776	13 601	12 225	9648	14 113	13 20 0
Latvia	7 14Z	9 129	8 5/2	10 760	9460	8 3 0 2	11 433	/ /05 8/63	12 543	13 121	13 043	9 966	8 907	8 241
Litinuania	22 00 0	0 120	26.036	26 275	9200	0 420 26 617	12 555	0403	7 /20	60 2 70	53 / 21	3/ 7/1	9 022	25 5 4 5
Mexico	2 7 5 0	2 411	3 033	3 785	3 2 96	2 770	300/ a	2760	x(11)	x(11)	5 887	5 193	3 239	3 132
Netherlands	11 188	15 3 6 4	13 260	17 8 65	16 324	15848	а	13 855	12 485	21 7 79	21 642	13 715	15 714	13 8 2 2
New Zealand	8 4 38	9 286	11 819	10 133	11 425	10 2 2 3	8067	9 35 0	12 053	20 747	19 567	15 471	11 119	10 410
Norway	15 631	15 631	16 573	20 353	18 527	17 2 29	24 48 8	16 48 4	21 086	24 474	24374	15 218	18 207	16 2 08
Poland	11 872	8 6 9 6	7 420	8903	8 251	8 4 85	5 841	9 415	7 474	14 49 0	14 488	9936	10 447	9 521
Portugal	9340	11 715	x(5)	x(5)	11 032	11 3 58 4	x(5, 6)	10 44 9	5 660	12 414	12 104	8 09 9	10 816	9 9 2 9
Slovak Republic	8 853	/ 949	8/3/	9781	9436	8546	10 751	86/4	10 880	14 694	14 63/	11 0 23	9 626	9049
Snain	9.077	10 658	10 430	14 188 ^d	11 668	11 159	x(4,5,6)	10 173	10 770	15 354	14 361	10 795	11 123	10.314
Sweden	13,997	13 857	12 198	16 797	13 9 3 9	13,902	8 26 3	13 865	7 011	28 44 3	26 215	12 3 91	15 994	13 611
S witzer land	m	m	x(5)	x(5)	19 973 ^d	m	x(5)	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Türkiye	4 108	4 037	4 248	6 485	5 109	4603	а	4 44 6	x(11)	x(11)	9 288	7 418	5 352	5 0 0 2
United Kingdom	12 513	12 716	14 609	14 370	14 5 3 9	13 69 5	а	13 141	29 292	29 5 5 2	29 534	23 814	16 052	15 0 36
United States	14 321	15 302	16 775	а	16 775	16 018	15 774	15 186	x(11)	x(11)	36 172	31 7 95	19 973	18 974
O ECD average	10 6 58	11 941	11 379	13 216	12 312	11 9 42	m	11 3 52	12 266	19775	18 105	12 6 93	12 647	11 576
Partner an d/or acces	sioncountri	ies												
Argentina	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Brazil	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
⊨uigaria China	5 211	6 918	50//	63/9	5 /29	6 232	18 2 25	5882	a	11 0 48	11048	105/1	6 983	6 881
Croatia	7 317d	v(1)	v(5)	v(5)	8 2 3 0	8 2 30		7 60 4	x(11)	v(11)	9.865	m	8 150	m
India	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	7 004 m	m	(1) m	m	m	m	m
Indonesia	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Peru	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Romania	3 18 8	6 817	7 035	5899	6 3 8 2	6 60 0	2 09 6	5 163	а	9602	9 602	9 581	5 956	5953
Saudi Arabia	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
South Africa	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
E U25 av era ge	10 3 37	12 111	10 967	13 072	11 6 6 4	11 696	10 855	11 123	11 317	18 571	17 578	11 8 4 0	12 275	11 273
G20 a ver age	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
ate: Can Statt ink on	d Day O1	2 for the s	ataa ral	tod to th	in Table									

Note: See StatLink and Box C1.3 for the notes related to this Table.

Source: OECD/UIS/Eurostat (2023). For more information see Source section and <u>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies and Technical</u> <u>Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

StatLink ms https://stat.link/nyek0g

Table C1.2. Government and total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student, by type of institution (2020)

In equivalent USD converted using PPPs for GDP, direct expenditure within educational institutions (final source of funds), by level of education

	and	Primary, s post-second	econdary lary non-tert	iary		Tert	iary					
	Gover	nment	Totalexp (gover and privat	enditure nm ent e sources)	Gover	nment	Totalexp (gover and privat	enditure nment esources)	Gover	nment	Totalexp (gover andprivat	enditure nment esources)
	Public institutions	Private institutions	Public institutions	Private institutions	Public institutions	Private institutions	Public institutions	Private institutions	Public institutions	Private institutions	Public institutions	Private institutions
OECD countries	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Australia	12 416	10 56 7	12 9 7 9	15 3 4 5	9 807	110	25 8 24	5794	11 742	9 346	16 29 9	14 2 2 9
Austria	16 144	8 70 1	16 376	12 923	22 671	8 497	23 790	14 5 34	17 939	8 606	18 415	13 679
Belgium	16 501	12 912	16 871	13 5 6 2	21 926	16 74 5	25 44 8	20 522	17 579	13 667	18 574	14 9 3 3
Canada ¹	12 260 ^d	2 827 ^d	12 68 9 ^d	9 737 ₫	12 433	a	24 36 3	а	12 3 06 d	2 827 ^d	15 79 4 ^d	9 737 ^d
Chile	6774	3 74 2	6 774	5 372	9 892	3 0 41	16 178	9349	7 233	3 501	8 158	6 742
Colombia	4 269	458	4 275	4 652	3 078	0	8 46 6	1 337	4 099	282	4 874	3 374
Costa Rica ²	4 958	3 72 5	m	m	12 636	m	13 7 76	m	5 851	3 725	m	m
Czech Republic	10 616	3 751	11 164	7 114	13 553	599	17 54 3	5229	11 140	2 996	12 30 4	6662
Denmark	13 162	15 099	13 2 0 7	19 2 6 4	19 563	2 308	23 4 31	23 728	14 836	15 020	15881	19 2 92
Estonia	9 265	6 353	9 38 9	10 0 62	13 807	412	18 72 9	6 561	10 144	5 0 15	11 197	9 274
Finland	12 366	10 06 6	12 4 23	10 247	26 126	9 403	28 99 8	10 324	14 105	9 708	14 518	10 2 8 9
France	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Germany	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
G ree ce ³	7 021	116	7 02 2	9 9 0 3	3 211	а	4 30 0	а	5 609	116	6 013	9903
Hungary	6 586	7 340	6 82 5	12 091	9 453	5 867	12 798	8 5 0 2	7 083	7 142	7 860	11 6 0 8
Iceland	15 163	10 70 1	15 58 5	11 6 6 4	15 959	9 433	17 882	10.2.26	15 308	10 163	16 00 5	11 0 5 4
Ireland	9 910	a	11 129	5 275	12 588	a	17 30.9	20 6 6 9	10 371	10 100 a	12 191	12 3 99
Israel	8 865	9706	9.023	13 0 54	2 052	7 979	2 110	14 378	8 559	8 870	8 712	13 69 5
Italy	11 178	1 907	11 518	5 4 0 5	9 233	1 235	13 247	10.036	10 794	1 622	11 859	7 371
lanan	m	m	m	m	0 200 m	m 1200	m	m	m	n 022	m	m
Korea	14 525	13 358	15 116	15 323	14 632	2 972	21 48 8	9 928	14 537	5.675	15.84.3	11 3 32
Latvia	7 400	4 27 0	7 593	12 3 99	11 108	7 269	12 265	13 112	7 485	6 898	7 700	13 024
Lithuania	9 169	5 672	9 35 0	10 725	10 / 7/	1 519	14 49 0	6.619	9 659	2 0 4 9	0.660	0.020
Luxembourg	26 370	8 858	26 370	16 028	10 4/4	1010	53 /21	0010	28 1/2	8 858	28 5 6 1	16.028
Maxiao	20 370	0 0 0 0	20370	2 5 6 5	6 127	a 0	6.470	1 926	20142	5	20301	2 4 02
Nothorlando	2 70Z	0	2704	62.977	16 / 99	0	04/9	4 020	12 247	5	3210	3403
Neurerianus Neur Zeelend	0 000	5 00 E	0.710	6 021	10 400	d 4.076	21373	10 500	0.274	6 025	14 0 47	40 2 0 9
New Zearand	0 000	22.014	9712	21 5/1	25 210	4 070	20 40 5	1/ 220	9 3 74	16 242	17 701	0770
Norway	0 457	6 10 7	0.017	11 015	23 310	2 402	17 475	6 220	0.560	10 2 12	10.626	23 0 33
Poranu Portugal	0 407	1 1 / 9	9217	7 4 50	0.002	2 403	12 200	11 270	9 302	4 040	11 266	9210
Fortugal Slovek Depublic	0 122	7 16 5	0 7 25	0.051	3 002	529	12 23 3	7 107	0 707	500	0.004	0 40 0
Slovak Republic	0 132	1 100	0/20	0 201	11/04	540	10 301	7 197	0 /2/	0 200	9 80 4	0 111
Slovenia	9 5/2	1/ 301	10 29 9	23 8 89	15 442	5 /49	18 10 9	001 CI	10 000	11 102	1000	19 240
Spain	10 819	4/30	11 2 28	/ 830	12 169	909	15 310	11 381	11 149	4 026	12 225	8 4 9 3
Sweden Switzerdend	14 093	12 6/4	14 098	12 /6/	23 262	14 393	27 519	1/955	15 / 34	12 9 14	16 50 0	13 491
Switzerland	17 333	20 17 2	m 0.704	m	31 308	10 3/4	m	m	20 075	10 011	m	m
l urkiye	3 607	405	3701	14 641	7 649	7.005	8 5/4	12 913	4 299	261	4 534	14 024
	12 0 04	10 945	12 400	12 070	16 500	6 400	a 20.400	29 3 34	12 004	3 9 10	12 400	10 130
United States	15 194	1 260	15 36 9	13 0/9	10 500	0 403	32 190	45 927	15 438	3 938	18 53 4	29215
O ECD average	10 949	7 598	11 2 59	13 2 39	14 839	4 748	18 710	13 411	11 560	6 707	12 58 0	12 9 4 9
Partner and/or acces	sion countrie	es										
Argentina	3 975	1 638	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Brazil	3 583	а	m	m	14 735	а	m	m	4 3 0 6	а	m	m
Bulgaria	5 820	0	5 83 3	8 106	7 584	36	10 116	18 6 4 5	6 169	21	6 68 0	14 176
China	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Croatia	7 307	4 26 4	7 58 0	9068	7 8 51	719	10 17 7	6 631	7 431	2 0 31	8 172	7 5 3 3
India	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Indonesia	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Peru	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
Romania	5 129	3 45 5	5 218	3 561	9 693	3 65 6	10 3 27	4 182	5 884	3 543	6 06 3	3833
Saudi Arabia	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
South Africa	3 085	m	m	m	x(9)	m	m	m	3 578	m	m	m
5105	40 700	0.70.4	44.0.40	40.004	45.000	40.47	40.407	40.507	44.440	0.450	40.075	10 (00
E U25 average	10 738	6764	11 0 49	13 0 84	15 223	4 3 4 7	18 427	12 507	11 419	6 15 0	12 275	12 4 88
G 20 a ver age	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m

 $\ensuremath{\textit{Note}}\xspace$ See StatLink and Box C1.3 for the notes related to this Table.

Source: OECD/UIS/Eurostat (2023). For more information see Source section and <u>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies and Technical</u> <u>Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

StatLink ms https://stat.link/t0xwkf

EDUCATION AT A GLANCE 2023 © OECD 2023

Table C1.3. Change in total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student (2019 to 2020)

GDP deflator 2015 = 100, 2015 constant prices and constant PPPs, by level of education

	Primary, secondary															
	a	ind post-se	econdary	non-tertia	r y			Tertiary				Prim	ary to ter	ary to tertiary		
	Total exp per stu constar and cons	Total expenditure per student in constant prices and constant PPPs		Change between 2019 and 2020 (%)			Total expenditure per student in constant prices and constant PPPs		Change between 2019 and 2020 (%)			Total expenditure per student in constant prices and constant PPPs		Change between 2019 and 2020 (%)		
_	2019	2020	Number of students	Total expenditure	Total expenditure per student	2019	2020	Number of students	Total expenditure	Total expenditure per student	2019	2020	Number of students	Total expenditure	Total expenditure per student	
OECD countries	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	
Australia	11 313	11 960	-0.3	5.4	5.7	18 673	19 176	-4.4	-1.9	2.7	12 926	13 490	-1.2	3.1	4.4	
Austria	13 946	13 / 04	0.3	-1.4	-1.7	19 346	18 628	1.1	-2.6	-3.7	155/1	15 194	0.6	-1.9	-2.4	
Canada ¹	11 058d	12 510 11 453d	-0.3	2.0 3.4d	2.9 3.6d	20 921	22 394	2.0	9.8	70	13 404 13 480d	13 // 5 14 195ª	0.2	2.0 5.8ª	2.3 5.3d	
Chile	5 488	5 071	-0.4	-8.0	-7.6	9 363	9 000	-3.8	-7.5	-3.9	6 611	6 183	-1.4	-7.8	-6.5	
Colombia	3 238	3 591	-1.2	9.5	10.9	3 986	4 110	-1.7	1.3	3.1	3 393	3 698	-1.3	7.5	9.0	
Costa Rica	m	m	-0.5	m	m	m	12 918	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	
Czech Republic	9 2 27	9 182	1.4	0.9	-0.5	15 608	13 731	0.9	-11.3	-12.0	10 404	10 017	1.3	-2.5	-3.7	
Denmark	12 088	12 011	-0.4	-1.0	-0.6	20 530	19 830	0.2	-3.2	-3.4	14 015	13 804	-0.3	-1.8	-1.5	
Estonia Finland	10 337	10.402	1.9	2.0	0.1	15 023	15 3 34	0.0	2.1	2.1	9 4 3 6	9 482	0.4	2.0	0.5	
France	10 364	10 402	0.0	-3.3	-3.3	16 028	15 611	3.0	0.3	-2.6	11 532	11 200	0.4	-2.2	-2.9	
Germany	11 917	12 251	-0.2	2.6	2.8	17 665	17 732	0.8	1.2	0.4	13 182	13 467	0.0	2.2	2.2	
Greece	6 482	m	m	m	m	3 885	m	m	m	m	5 552	m	m	m	m	
Hungary	7 614	7 050	-0.3	-7.7	-7.4	11 450	10 768	-3.1	-8.9	-6.0	8 264	7 665	-0.8	-8.0	-7.2	
Iceland	13 594	13 746	1.2	2.3	1.1	15 338	14 526	8.3	2.5	-5.3	13 941	13 909	2.6	2.3	-0.2	
Ireland	9 524	10 391	0.8	10.0	9.1	16 283	16 304	2.7	2.8	0.1	10 689	11 425	1.2	8.1	6.9	
Israel	9 0 5 6	9 230	-0.8	-2.0	-1.2	12 397	10 519	2.0	-4.0	-0.0	9 626	9 005	0.3	-10	-1.3	
Japan	10 444	10 756	-1.0	2.0	3.0	19 470	19 108	0.1	-1.8	-1.9	12 452	12 631	-0.8	0.7	1.4	
Korea	14 754	14 026	-1.9	-6.8	-4.9	10 957	11 320	-1.4	1.9	3.3	13 414	13 068	-1.7	-4.3	-2.6	
Latvia	6 762	6 742	0.2	-0.1	-0.3	11 114	11 325	-1.5	0.3	1.9	7 717	7 734	-0.2	0.0	0.2	
Lithuania	6 571	7 351	-0.4	11.4	11.9	9 978	11 838	-3.3	14.7	18.6	7 353	8 357	-1.1	12.4	13.7	
Luxembourg	21 991	22 064	1.6	1.9	0.3	48 608	47 405	4.2	1.6	-2.5	23 784	23 812	1.7	1.9	0.1	
Mexico	2759	2 594	-2.1	-8.0	-6.0	10 092	5 533	3.5	-17.1	-19.9	3 305	3044	-1.3	-10.7	-9.6	
New Zealand	7 431	8 058	-0.7	76	8.5	16 395	16 865	-19	0.9	-2.3	8 997	9.583	-1.0	5.4	6.5	
Norway	14 560	14 621	0.0	0.5	0.4	23 033	21 619	4.7	-1.8	-6.1	16 347	16 149	1.0	-0.2	-1.2	
Poland	8 323	8 412	0.9	2.0	1.1	12 306	12 945	-3.1	1.9	5.2	9 160	9 334	0.0	1.9	1.9	
Portugal	9 376	9 121	-1.9	-4.6	-2.7	10 919	10 565	4.4	1.0	-3.2	9 701	9 441	-0.6	-3.2	-2.7	
Slovak Republic	7 984	8 511	0.5	7.2	6.6	13 285	14 361	0.0	8.1	8.1	8 834	9 4 4 5	0.5	7.4	6.9	
Slovenia	8 836	8 985	1.1	2.8	1.7	13 673	15 114	2.4	13.2	10.5	9 698	10 088	1.4	5.4	4.0	
Sweden	12 310	12 223	1.5	0.7	-0.8	24 308	23 110	5.9	0.0	-2.2	9750	9 040	2.2	0.7	-1.5	
Switzerland	m	m	0.7	m	m	24 300 m	20110 m	3.0	m	m	m	m	1.1	m	m	
Türkiye	5 087	4 530	1.1	-10.0	-11.0	9 848	9 463	0.5	-3.4	-3.9	5 982	5 453	1.0	-8.0	-8.8	
United Kingdom	11 542	11 398	0.7	-0.5	-1.2	27 377	25 617	5.4	-1.3	-6.4	14 250	13 923	1.5	-0.8	-2.3	
United States	13 675	13 972	-0.3	1.9	2.2	32 946	33 281	-0.2	0.9	1.0	18 066	18 377	-0.3	1.4	1.7	
OECD average OECD average	9 939	10 119	0.1	0.9	0.8	16 273	16 350	1.3	0.3	-0.9	11 111	11 322	0.3	0.7	0.4	
for countries with data available for the reference years	10 038	10 119	0.1	0.9	0.8	16 627	16 448	1.3	0.3	-0.9	11 269	11 322	0.3	0.7	0.4	
Partner and/or acces	sion coun	tries														
Argentina	m	m	1.0	m	m	m	m	4.1	m	m	m	m	1.8	m	m	
Brazil	m	m	-1.1	m	m	m	m	1.0	m	m	m	m	-0.7	m	m	
Bulgaria	4 402	4 810	-1.4	7.8	9.3	9 137	9 0 3 4	-0.4	-1.5	-1.1	5 403	5 710	-1.2	4.4	5.7	
China	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m 1.5	m	m	m	m	m	m	
India	0 285	0 40Z	-1.0 m	1.ð	2.0 m	0 000	0 384 m	4.0	-1.5 m	-5.3	0 0 0 0 2	0 920	0.1	0.8	0.0	
Indonesia	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	0.0 m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	
Peru	m	m	2.7	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	
Romania	4 172	4 067	-1.2	-3.7	-2.5	8 127	7 563	2.1	-5.0	-6.9	4 859	4 691	-0.6	-4.0	-3.5	
Saudi Arabia	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	
South Africa	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	
EU25 average	9 5 4 6	9 770	0.1	1.4	1.3	15 373	15 712	1,5	1.0	-0.4	10 569	10 844	0.4	1.2	0.9	
G20 average	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	2	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	

Note: See StatLink and Box C1.3 for the notes related to this Table.

Source: OECD/UIS/Eurostat (2023). For more information see Source section and <u>Education at a Glance 2023 Sources, Methodologies and Technical</u> <u>Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

StatLink ms https://stat.link/efqcyn

Box C1.3. Notes for Indicator C1 Tables

Table C1.1 Total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student (2020)

- 1. Primary education includes pre-primary programmes.
- 2. Post-secondary non-tertiary figures are treated as negligible.3
- 3. Year of reference 2021.
- 4. Upper secondary vocational programmes include lower secondary vocational programmes.

5. Year of reference 2019.

Table C1.2 Government and total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student, by type of institution (2020)

Data on upper secondary general and vocational education (Columns 13 to 20) are available on line (see StatLink).

1. Primary education includes pre-primary programmes. Post-secondary non-tertiary figures are treated as negligible.

2. Year of reference 2021.

3. Year of reference 2019.

Table C1.3 Change in total expenditure on educational institutions per full-time equivalent student (2019 to 2020)

1. Primary education includes pre-primary programmes.

For more information see *Definitions, Methodology* and *Source* sections and <u>Education at a Glance</u> <u>2023 Sources, Methodologies and Technical Notes</u> (OECD, 2023_[2]).

Data and more breakdowns are available in the Education at a Glance Database (<u>http://stats.oecd.org/</u>).

Please refer to the Reader's Guide for information concerning symbols for missing data and abbreviations.



From: Education at a Glance 2023 OECD Indicators

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/e13bef63-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2023), "How much is spent per student on educational institutions?", in *Education at a Glance 2023:* OECD Indicators, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/46aa654e-en

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <u>http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions</u>.

